Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics

Vol. 10, No. 1 (2015), pp 131-137 DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.010

# On the 2-absorbing Submodules

Sh. Payrovi\*, S. Babaei

Imam Khomieni International University, Postal Code: 34149-1-6818, Qazvin, Iran.

E-mail: shpayrovi@sci.ikiu.ac.ir E-mail: sbabaei@edu.ikiu.ac.ir

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring and M be an R-module. In this paper, we investigate some properties of 2-absorbing submodules of M. It is shown that N is a 2-absorbing submodule of M if and only if whenever  $IJL \subseteq N$  for some ideals I, J of R and a submodule L of M, then  $IL \subseteq N$  or  $JL \subseteq N$  or  $IJ \subseteq N :_R M$ . Also, if N is a 2-absorbing submodule of M and M/N is Noetherian, then a chain of 2-absorbing submodules of M is constructed. Furthermore, the annihilation of  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$  is studied whenever 0 is a 2-absorbing submodule of  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of R and  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$  is an injective envelope of  $R/\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Keywords:** 2-absorbing ideal, 2-absorbing submodule, A chain of 2-absorbing submodule.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 13C99.

# 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper R is a commutative ring with non-zero identity and M is an unitary R-module. We defined a submodule N of M is 2-absorbing whenever  $abm \in N$  for some  $a,b \in R$ ,  $m \in M$ , then  $am \in N$  or  $bm \in N$  or  $ab \in N :_R M$ , see for instance [1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10]. It is well known that, a submodule N of M is prime if and only if  $IL \subseteq N$  for an ideal I of R and

Received 30 October 2013; Accepted 09 September 2014 © 2015 Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research TMU

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author

Downloaded from ijmsi.com on 2025-12-15

DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.010

a submodule L of M, then either  $L \subseteq N$  or  $I \subseteq N :_R M$ . This statement persuaded us to prove that, a submodule N of M is 2-absorbing if and only if  $IJL \subseteq N$  for some ideals I, J of R and a submodule L of M, then  $IL \subseteq N$ or  $JL \subseteq N$  or  $IJ \subseteq N :_R M$ . As a corollary of this theorem, it is shown that  $L = \{m \in M : \mathfrak{p} \subseteq r(N : m)\}$  is a 2-absorbing submodule of M, where N is a 2-absorbing submodule of M with  $r(N:_R M) = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}$  for some prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}$ of R. Also, it is shown that if M/N is Noetherian, then there exists a chain of 2-absorbing submodules of M that begins with N. Assume that  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$  is an injective envelope of  $R/\mathfrak{p}$ , it is shown that if 0 is a 2-absorbing submodule of  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ , then  $r(0:_R E(R/\mathfrak{p})) = \mathfrak{p}$  and  $0:_R x$  is determined for all nonzero element x of  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ .

Now, we define the concepts that we will use later. For a submodule L of M let  $L:_R M$  denote the ideal  $\{r \in R: rM \subseteq L\}$ . Similarly, for an element  $m \in M$  let  $L:_R m$  denote the ideal  $\{r \in R: rm \in L\}$ . If I is an ideal of R, then r(I) denotes the radical of I. We say that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$  is an associated prime ideal of M if there exists  $m \in M$  with  $0:_R m = \mathfrak{p}$ . The set of associated prime ideals of M is denoted by  $\operatorname{Ass}_R(M)$ , the set of integers is denoted by  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

### 2. 2-Absorbing Submodules

Let N be a proper submodule of M. We say that N is a 2-absorbing submodule of M if whenever  $a, b \in R$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $abm \in N$ , then  $am \in N$  or  $bm \in N \text{ or } ab \in N :_R M.$ 

**Lemma 2.1.** Let I be an ideal of R and N be a 2-absorbing submodule of M. If  $a \in R$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $Iam \subseteq N$ , then  $am \in N$  or  $Im \subseteq N$  or  $Ia \subseteq N :_R M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $am \notin N$  and  $Ia \not\subseteq N :_R M$ . Then there exists  $b \in I$  such that  $ba \notin N :_R M$ . Now,  $bam \in N$  implies that  $bm \in N$ , since N is a 2-absorbing submodule of M. We have to show that  $Im \subseteq N$ . Let c be an arbitrary element of I. Thus  $(b+c)am \in N$ . Hence, either  $(b+c)m \in N$  or  $(b+c)a \in N :_R M$ . If  $(b+c)m \in N$ , then by  $bm \in N$  it follows that  $cm \in N$ . If  $(b+c)a \in N :_R M$ , then  $ca \notin N :_R M$ , but  $cam \in N$ . Thus  $cm \in N$ . Hence, we conclude that  $Im \subseteq N$ . 

**Lemma 2.2.** Let I, J be ideals of R and N be a 2-absorbing submodule of M. If  $m \in M$  and  $IJm \subseteq N$ , then  $Im \subseteq N$  or  $Jm \subseteq N$  or  $IJ \subseteq N :_R M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I \subseteq N :_R m$  and  $J \subseteq N :_R m$ . We have to show that  $IJ \subseteq N :_R M$ . Assume that  $c \in I$  and  $d \in J$ . By assumption there exists  $a \in I$  such that  $am \notin N$  but  $aJm \subseteq N$ . Now, Lemma 2.1 shows that  $aJ \subseteq N :_R M$  and so  $(I \setminus N :_R m)J \subseteq N :_R M$ , similarly there exists  $b \in J \setminus N :_R m$  such that  $Ib \subseteq N :_R M$  and also  $I(J \setminus N :_R m) \subseteq N :_R M$ . Thus we have  $ab \in N :_R M$ ,  $ad \in N :_R M$  and  $cb \in N :_R M$ . By  $a + c \in I$  and  $b + d \in J$  it follows that  $(a+c)(b+d)m \in N$ . Therefore,  $(a+c)m \in N$  or  $(b+d)m \in N$  or  $(a+c)(b+d) \in N :_R M$ . If  $(a+c)m \in N$ , then  $cm \notin N$  hence,  $c \in I \setminus N :_R m$  which implies that  $cd \in N :_R M$ . Similarly by  $(b+d)m \in N$ , we can deduce that  $cd \in N :_R M$ . If  $(a+c)(b+d) \in N :_R M$ , then  $ab+ad+cb+cd \in N :_R M$  and so  $cd \in N :_R M$ . Therefore,  $IJ \subseteq N :_R M$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let N be a proper submodule of M. The following statement are equivalent:

- (i) N is a 2-absorbing submodule of M;
- (ii) If  $IJL \subseteq N$  for some ideals I, J of R and a submodule L of M, then  $IL \subseteq N$  or  $JL \subseteq N$  or  $IJ \subseteq N :_R M$ .

*Proof.*  $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$  is obvious. To prove  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ , assume that  $IJL \subseteq N$  for some ideals I,J of R and a submodule L of M and  $IJ \not\subseteq N :_R M$ . Then by Lemma 2.2 for all  $m \in L$  either  $Im \subseteq N$  or  $Jm \subseteq N$ . If  $Im \subseteq N$ , for all  $m \in L$  we are done. Similarly if  $Jm \subseteq N$ , for all  $m \in L$  we are done. Suppose that  $m, m' \in L$  are such that  $Im \not\subseteq N$  and  $Jm' \not\subseteq N$ . Thus  $Jm \subseteq N$  and  $Im' \subseteq N$ . Since  $IJ(m+m') \subseteq N$  we have either  $I(m+m') \subseteq N$  or  $J(m+m') \subseteq N$ . By  $I(m+m') \subseteq N$ , it follows that  $Im \subseteq N$  which is a contradiction, similarly by  $J(m+m') \subseteq N$  we get a contradiction. Therefore either  $IL \subseteq N$  or  $JL \subseteq N$ . □

A submodule N of M is called strongly 2-absorbing if it satisfies in condition (ii), see [5]. Therefore, Theorem 2.3 shows that N is a 2-absorbing submodule of M if and only if N is a strongly 2-absorbing submodule of M.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let M be an R-module and N be a 2-absorbing submodule of M. Then  $N:_M I = \{m \in M : Im \subseteq N\}$  is a 2-absorbing submodules of M for all ideal I of R. Furthermore  $N:_M I^n = N:_M I^{n+1}$ , for all  $n \ge 2$ .

Proof. Let I be an ideal of R,  $a,b \in R$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $abm \in N :_M I$ . Thus  $Iabm \subseteq N$ . Hence,  $Im \subseteq N$  or  $Iab \subseteq N :_R M$  or  $abm \in N$ , by Lemma 2.2. If  $Im \subseteq N$  we are done. If  $Iab \subseteq N :_R M$ , then  $ab \in (N :_R M) :_R I = (N :_M I) :_R M$ . If  $abm \in N$ , then  $am \in N$  or  $bm \in N$  or  $ab \in N :_R M$ . Thus  $Iam \subseteq N$  or  $Iab \subseteq N :_R M$  which complete the proof.

For the second statement, it is enough to show that  $N:_M I^2 = N:_M I^3$ . It is clear that  $N:_M I^2 \subseteq N:_M I^3$ . Let  $m \in N:_M I^3$ . Then  $I^3m \subseteq N$ . Now, by Lemma 2.2, we have  $I^2m \subseteq N$  or  $Im \subseteq N$  or  $I^3 \subseteq N:_R M$ . If  $I^2m \subseteq N$  or  $Im \subseteq N$ , we are done. If  $I^3 \subseteq N:_R M$ , then  $I^2 \subseteq N:_R M$  since  $N:_R M$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of R by [9, Theorem 2.3].

It is clear that,  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $n=0, p, p^2, pq$ , where p,q are distinct prime integers. It is easy to see that  $4\mathbb{Z} :_{\mathbb{Z}} 6\mathbb{Z} = 2\mathbb{Z}$  but  $4\mathbb{Z} :_{\mathbb{Z}} 36\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence, the equality mentioned in the Corollary 2.4, is not necessarily true for n=1.

Downloaded from ijmsi.com on 2025-12-15

**Theorem 2.5.** Let N be a 2-absorbing submodule of M such that  $r(N:_R M) = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}$  where  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  are the only distinct prime ideals of R that are minimal over  $N:_R M$ . Then  $L = \{m \in M : \mathfrak{p} \subseteq r(N:_R m)\}$  is a 2-absorbing submodule of M containing N. Also, either  $r(L:_R M) = \mathfrak{q}$  or  $r(L:_R M) = \mathfrak{p}' \cap \mathfrak{q}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}'$  is a prime ideal of R containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

Proof. It is clear that L is a submodule of M containing N. Assume that  $a,b\in R,\ m\in M$  and  $abm\in L$ . We have to show that  $am\in L$  or  $bm\in L$  or  $ab\in L:_R M$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}\subseteq r(N:_R abm)$ , thus  $\mathfrak{p}^2abm\subseteq N$ , by [9, Theorem 2.4] and [2, Theorem 2.4]. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, we have  $abm\in N$  or  $\mathfrak{p}^2m\subseteq N$  or  $\mathfrak{p}^2ab\subseteq N:_R M$ . If  $abm\in N$ , then  $am\in N$  or  $bm\in N$  or  $ab\in N:_R M$  which implies that  $am\in L$  or  $bm\in L$  or  $ab\in L:M$ . If  $\mathfrak{p}^2m\subseteq N$ , then  $\mathfrak{p}^2\subseteq N:_R m$  and so  $\mathfrak{p}\subseteq r(N:_R m)$  thus  $m\in L$  and we are done. If  $\mathfrak{p}^2ab\subseteq N:_R M$ , then by [2, Theorem 2.13], we have  $\mathfrak{p}^2a\subseteq N:_R M$  or  $\mathfrak{p}^2b\subseteq N:_R M$  or  $ab\in N:_R M$ . In the first case we conclude that  $\mathfrak{p}^2\subseteq N:_R am$  and so  $am\in L$ . By a similar argument in the second case we can deduced that  $ab\in L:_R M$ . Therefore, the result follows.

For the second statement, first we show that  $r(N:_R M) = r(L:_R M) \cap \mathfrak{p}$ . It is clear  $r(N:_R M) \subseteq r(L:_R M) \cap \mathfrak{p}$ . Assume that  $a \in (L:_R M) \cap \mathfrak{p}$ . Thus  $aM \subseteq L$  and so, by definition of L,  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq r(N:_R am)$ , for all  $m \in M$ . Hence, [2, Theorem 2.4] shows that  $\mathfrak{p}^2 \subseteq N:_R am$ , for all  $m \in M$ . Therefore,  $a^3 \in N:_R m$ , for all  $m \in M$ . So that  $a^3 \in N:_R M$  and then  $a \in r(N:_R M)$ . Thus  $r(L:_R M) \cap \mathfrak{p} \subseteq r(N:_R M)$ . Now,  $L:_R M$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of R, therefore either  $r(L:_R M) = \mathfrak{p}'$  or  $r(L:_R M) = \mathfrak{p}' \cap \mathfrak{q}'$ , for some prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}'$ ,  $\mathfrak{q}'$  of R. In the first case we have  $r(N:_R M) = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{p}' \cap \mathfrak{q}'$  which implies that  $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{q}$  and in the second case we have  $r(N:_R M) = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{p}' \cap \mathfrak{q}'$  which implies that either  $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{q}$  or  $\mathfrak{q}' = \mathfrak{q}$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** Let N be a 2-absorbing submodule of M such that  $r(N:_R M) = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}$  where  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  are the only distinct prime ideals of R that are minimal over  $N:_R M$ . If M/N is a Noetherian R-module, then

- (i) there exists a chain  $N = L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L_{n-1} \subseteq L_n = M$  of 2-absorbing submodules of M. Furthermore,  $\operatorname{Ass}(M) \subseteq \operatorname{Ass}(M/L_{n-1}) \cup \operatorname{Ass}(L_{n-1}/L_{n-2}) \cup \operatorname{Ass}(L_{n-2}/L_{n-3}) \cup \cdots \cup \operatorname{Ass}(L_1/N)$ , where  $\operatorname{Ass}(L_i/N)$  is the union of at most two totally ordered set, for all i.
- (ii) there exists a chain  $N \subseteq L_n \subseteq L_{n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L_0 = M$  of submodules of M such that  $L_i$  is a 2-absorbing submodule of  $L_{i+1}$ , for all  $0 \le i \le n-1$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $L_1 = \{m \in M : \mathfrak{p} \subseteq r(N :_R m)\}$ . Then by Corollary 2.4,  $L_1$  is a 2-absorbing submodule of M and so either  $r(L_1 :_R M) = \mathfrak{q}$  or  $r(L_1 :_R M) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{q}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  is a prime ideal of R containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ . If  $r(L_1 :_R M) = \mathfrak{q}$ , then choose  $L_2 = \{m \in M : \mathfrak{q} \subseteq r(L_1 :_R m)\} = M$ . Hence,  $N \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L_2 = M$  is requested chain. If  $r(L_1 :_R M) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{q}$ , set  $L_2 = \{m \in M : \mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq r(L_1 :_R m)\}$ 

and so either  $r(L_2:_R M) = \mathfrak{q}$  or  $r(L_2:_R M) = \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{q}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  is a prime ideal of R containing  $\mathfrak{p}_1$ . Proceeding in this way, we can achieve  $N \subseteq L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L_{n-1} \subseteq L_n = M$  of 2-absorbing submodules of M. The last statement is obvious, by [9, Theorem 2.6].

(ii) Let  $L_1 = \{m \in M : \mathfrak{p} \subseteq r(N :_R m)\}$ . Then N is a 2-absorbing submodule of  $L_1$ . So that either  $r(N :_R L_1) = \mathfrak{p}_1$  or  $r(N :_R L_1) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{q}_1$ , for some prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{q}_1$  of R. If  $r(N :_R L_1) = \mathfrak{p}_1$ , then choose  $L_2 = \{x \in L_1 : \mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq r(N :_R x)\} = N$ . Hence, in this case  $N \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L_0 = M$  is the requested chain. If  $r(N :_R L_1) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{q}_1$ , then set  $L_2 = \{x \in L_1 : \mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq r(N :_R x)\}$  and continue the same way to achieve the chain  $N \subseteq L_n \subseteq L_{n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L_0 = M$  of 2-absorbing submodules of M.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let N be a 2-absorbing submodule of M. Then  $N :_R M$  is a prime ideal of R if and only if  $N :_R m$  is a prime ideal of R for all  $m \in M \setminus N$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $a,b \in R$ ,  $m \in M \setminus N$  and  $ab \in N :_R m$ . Then  $abm \subseteq N$ . We have  $am \in N$  or  $bm \in N$  or  $ab \in N :_R M$  since N is a 2-absorbing submodule of M. If  $am \in N$  or  $bm \in N$  we are done. If  $ab \in N :_R M$ , then by assumption either  $a \in N :_R M$  or  $b \in N :_R M$ . Thus either  $a \in N :_R m$  or  $b \in N :_R m$ . So  $N :_R m$  is a prime ideal.

Conversely, suppose that  $ab \in N :_R M$  for some  $a,b \in R$  and assume that there exist  $m,m' \in M$  such that  $am \notin N$  and  $bm' \notin N$ . By  $abm,abm' \in N$  it follows that  $bm \in N$  and  $am' \in N$  since  $N :_R m$  and  $N :_R m'$  are prime ideals of R. If  $m+m' \in N$ , then  $am \in N$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $m+m' \notin N$ . Now by  $ab(m'+m'') \in N$  we have  $a(m'+m'') \in N$  or  $b(m'+m'') \in N$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $aM \subseteq N$  or  $bM \subseteq N$  which implies that  $N :_R M$  is prime.

Corollary 2.8. Let N be a 2-absorbing submodule of M. Then  $N:_R M$  is a prime ideal of R if and only if  $N:_R K$  is a prime ideal of R for all submodules K of M containing N.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.7 and [9, Theorem 2.6] it follows that  $\{N :_R x : x \in K \setminus N\}$  is a totally ordered set of prime ideals of R. Hence,  $N :_R K = \cap_{x \in K} N :_R x$  is a prime ideal of R.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of R and  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$  be an injective envelop of  $R/\mathfrak{p}$ . If 0 is a 2-absorbing submodule of  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ , then

- (i)  $\mathfrak{p}^2 \subseteq 0 :_R E(R/\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  so that  $r(0 :_R E(R/\mathfrak{p})) = \mathfrak{p}$ .
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{p}^2 \subseteq 0 :_R x \subset 0 :_R ax = \mathfrak{p}$ , for all non-zero element x of  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$  and all  $a \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus 0 :_R x$ .
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{p}^2 \subseteq 0 :_R x = 0 :_R a^n x \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , for all  $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$ .

*Proof.* (i) We have  $r(0:_R x) = \mathfrak{p}$  for all non-zero element x of  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ , by [8, Theorem 18.4]. Also it is obvious  $0:_R E(R/\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq 0:_R x$ . Thus  $0:_R E(R/\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ .

Downloaded from ijmsi.com on 2025-12-15

Now, assume that  $a \in \mathfrak{p}^2$  and x is a non-zero element of  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ . Since 0 is a 2-absorbing submodule of M,  $0:_R x$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of R, by [9, Theorem 2.4]. Therefore we have  $\mathfrak{p}^2$  is a subset of  $0:_R x$ , by [2, Theorem 2.4]. Hence, ax = 0 and therefore  $aE(R/\mathfrak{p}) = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{p}^2 \subseteq 0:_R E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ .

- (ii) Let x be a non-zero element of  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ . Then we have  $\mathfrak{p}^2 \subseteq 0 :_R x \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Assume that  $a \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus 0 :_R x$ . Thus  $ax \neq 0$  but  $a^2x = 0$  which shows that  $0 :_R x \subset 0 :_R ax$ . If  $b \in \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $ab \in \mathfrak{p}^2$  and abx = 0. Thus  $b \in 0 :_R ax$  and so  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq 0 :_R ax$ .
- (iii) Assume that  $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . It is obvious that  $0:_R x \subseteq 0:_R a^n x$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $b \in \operatorname{Ann}_R(a^n x)$ . Thus  $ba^n x = 0$ . But multiplication by  $a^n$  is an automorphism on  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ , so that bx = 0 and  $b \in 0:_R x$ . Therefore,  $0:_R x = 0:_R a^n x$ .

**Corollary 2.10.** Let R be a principal ideal domain and  $\mathfrak p$  is a prime ideal of R. If 0 is a 2-absorbing submodule of  $E(R/\mathfrak p)$ , then for all non-zero element x of  $E(R/\mathfrak p)$  either  $0:_R x = \mathfrak p^2$  or  $0:_R x = \mathfrak p$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathfrak{p}=(a)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p}^2=(a^2)$ . Let x be a non-zero element of  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p}^2\subseteq 0:_R x=(b)\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  by Theorem 2.9(ii). Thus  $a^2=bc$  and b=ae for some  $c,e\in R$ . Hence,  $a^2=aec$ . So  $a=ec\in \mathfrak{p}$ . Therefore, either  $c\in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $e\in \mathfrak{p}$ . If  $c\in \mathfrak{p}$ , then c=ac' and so a=eac' which implies that 1=ec' and a=bc' thus  $0:_R x=\mathfrak{p}$ . If  $e\in \mathfrak{p}$ , then e=ae' and so a=ae'c which implies that 1=e'c and  $b=a^2e'$  thus  $0:_R x=\mathfrak{p}^2$ .

The following example shows that the condition "0 is a 2-absorbing submodule of  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ " is essential. It is well-known that  $E(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty}) = \{m/n + \mathbb{Z} : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\}$ , where p is a prime integer. But neither  $p^2\mathbb{Z} = 0 :_{\mathbb{Z}} 1/p^3 + \mathbb{Z}$  nor  $0 :_{\mathbb{Z}} 1/p^3 + \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence, 0 is not a 2-absorbing submodule of  $E(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ . Also, this example shows that if 0 is a 2-absorbing submodule of M, then it is not necessarily a 2-absorbing submodule of E(M).

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the referee for a careful reading of our article.

#### References

- D. F. Anderson, A. Badawi, On n-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Comm. Algebra, 39, (2011), 1646-1672.
- A. Badawi, On 2-absorbing ideals of commutavie rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 75, (2007), 417-429.
- A. Badawi, A. Y. Darani, On weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Houston J. Math., 39 (2), (2013), 441-452.
- A. Y. Darani, E. R. Puczylowski, On 2-absorbing commutative semigroups and their applications to rings, Semigroup Forum, 86, (2013), 83-91.

- A. Y. Darani, F. Soheilnia, On n-absorning submodules, Math. Commun., 17, (2012), 547-557.
- 6. A. Y. Darani, F. Soheilnia, 2-Absorning and weakly 2-Absorbing submodules, *Thai J. of Math.*, **9**, (2011), 577-584.
- 7. R. Jahani-Nezhad, The Dual of a Strongly Prime Ideal, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, **5**, (2010), 19-26.
- 8. H. Matsumura, Commutative Rings Theory, Second edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- 9. Sh. Payrovi, S. Babaei, On 2-absorbing submodules, Algebra Collq., 19, (2012), 913-920.
- 10. Sh. Payrovi, S. Babaei, On the 2-absorbing ideals, Int. Math. Forum, 7, (2012), 265-271.