Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics
Vol. 10, No. 1 (2015), pp 23-43
DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003

# OD-characterization of Almost Simple Groups Related to $D_4(4)$

G. R. Rezaeezadeh[a,*], M. R. Darafsheh[b], M. Bibak[a], M. Sajjadi[a]

[a]Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Shahrekord University, P.O.Box:115, Shahrekord, Iran.
[b]School of Pure Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, College of Science, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

E-mail: rezaeezadeh@sci.sku.ac.ir
E-mail: darafsheh@ut.ac.ir
E-mail: m.bibak62@gmail.com
E-mail: sajadi_mas@yahoo.com

Abstract. Let $G$ be a finite group and $\pi_e(G)$ be the set of orders of all elements in $G$. The set $\pi_e(G)$ determines the prime graph (or Grunberg-Kegel graph) $\Gamma(G)$ whose vertex set is $\pi(G)$. The set of primes dividing the order of $G$, and two vertices $p$ and $q$ are adjacent if and only if $pq \in \pi_e(G)$. The degree $deg(p)$ of a vertex $p \in \pi(G)$, is the number of edges incident on $p$. Let $\pi(G) = \{p_1, p_2, ..., p_k\}$ with $p_1 < p_2 < ... < p_k$. We define $D(G) := (deg(p_1), deg(p_2), ..., deg(p_k))$, which is called the degree pattern of $G$. The group $G$ is called $k$-fold OD-characterizable if there exist exactly $k$ non-isomorphic groups $M$ satisfying conditions $|G| = |M|$ and $D(G) = D(M)$. Usually a 1-fold OD-characterizable group is simply called OD-characterizable. In this paper, we classify all finite groups with the same order and degree pattern as an almost simple groups related to $D_4(4)$.

**Keywords:** Degree pattern, $k$-fold OD-characterizable, Almost simple group.

*Corresponding Author

Received 12 February 2013; Accepted 08 August 2013

**2000 Mathematics subject classification:** 20D05, 20D60, 20D06.

## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite group, $\pi(G)$ the set of all prime divisors of $|G|$ and $\pi_e(G)$ be the set of orders of elements in $G$ . The prime graph (or Grunberg-Kegel graph) $\Gamma(G)$ of $G$ is a simple graph with vertex set $\pi(G)$ in which two vertices $p$ and $q$ are joined by an edge ( and we write $p \sim q$) if and only if $G$ contains an element of order $pq$ (i.e. $pq \in \pi_e(G)$ ).

The degree $\deg(p)$ of a vertex $p \in \pi(G)$ is the number of edges incident on $p$. If $\pi(G) = \{p_1, p_2, ..., p_k\}$ with $p_1 < p_2 < ... < p_k$, then we define $\mathrm{D}(G)$:=($\deg(p_1)$,$\deg(p_2)$,...,$\deg(p_k)$), which is called the degree pattern of $G$, and leads a following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** The finite group $G$ is called $k$-fold OD-characterizable if there exist exactly $k$ non-isomorphic groups $H$ satisfying conditions $|G| = |H|$ and $D(G) = D(H)$. In particular, a 1-fold OD-characterizable group is simply called OD-characterizable.

The interest in characterizing finite groups by their degree patterns started in [7] by M. R. Darafsheh and et. all, in which the authors proved that the following simple groups are uniquely determined by their order and degree patterns: All sporadic simple groups, the alternating groups $A_p$ with $p$ and $p-2$ primes and some simple groups of Lie type. Also in a series of articles (see [4, 6, 8, 9, 14, 17]), it was shown that many finite simple groups are OD-characterizable.

Let $A$ and $B$ be two groups then a split extension is denoted by $A : B$. If $L$ is a finite simple group and $\mathrm{A}ut(L) \cong L : A$, then if $B$ is a cyclic subgroup of $A$ of order $n$ we will write $L : n$ for the split extension $L : B$. Moreover if there are more than one subgroup of orders $n$ in $A$, then we will denote them by $L : n_1$, $L : n_2$, etc.

**Definition 1.2.** A group $G$ is said to be an almost simple group related to $S$ if and only if $S \leq G \lesssim \mathrm{A}ut(S)$, for some non-abelian simple group $S$.

In many papers (see [2, 3, 10, 13, 15, 16]), it has been proved, up to now, that many finite almost simple groups are OD-characterizable or $k$-fold OD-characterizable for certain $k \geq 2$.

We denote the socle of $G$ by $\mathrm{Soc}(G)$, which is the subgroup generated by the set of all minimal normal subgroups of $G$. For $p \in \pi(G)$, we denote by $G_p$ and $\mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$ a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$ and the set of all Sylow $p$-subgroups of $G$ respectively, all further unexplained notation are standard and can be found in [11].

In this article our main aim is to show the recognizability of the almost simple groups related to $L := D_4(4)$ by degree pattern in the prime graph and



[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]

order of the group. In fact, we will prove the following Theorem.

**Main Theorem** Let $M$ be an almost simple group related to $L := D_4(4)$. If $G$ is a finite group such that $D(G) = D(M)$ and $|G| = |M|$, then the following assertions hold:

(a) If $M = L$, then $G \cong L$.

(b) If $M = L : 2_1$, then $G \cong L : 2_1$ or $L : 2_3$.

(c) If $M = L : 2_2$, then $G \cong L : 2_2$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times L$.

(d) If $M = L : 2_3$, then $G \cong L : 2_3$ or $L : 2_1$.

(e) If $M = L : 3$, then $G \cong L : 3$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times L$.

(f) If $M = L : 2^2$, then $G \cong L : 2^2$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 2_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 2_2)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 2_3)$, $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times L$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times L$.

(g) If $M = L : (D_6)_1$, then $G \cong L : (D_6)_1$, $L : 6$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_3)$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_2$.

(h) If $M = L : (D_6)_2$, then $G \cong L : (D_6)_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 3)$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_2)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times L$ or $D_6 \times L$.

(i) If $M = L : 6$, then $G \cong L : 6$, $L : (D_6)_1$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_3)$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_2$.

(j) If $M = L : D_{12}$, then $G \cong L : D_{12}$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : (D_6)_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : (D_6)_2)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 6)$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2^2)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_1)).\mathbb{Z}_2$, $(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_2)).\mathbb{Z}_2$, $(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_3)).\mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times (L : 3)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times (L : 3)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_3$, $((\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times L).\mathbb{Z}_3$, $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times (L : 2_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times (L : 2_2)$ , $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times (L : 2_3)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_6 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_2$, $D_6 \times (L : 2_1)$, $D_6 \times (L : 2_2)$, $D_6 \times (L : 2_3)$, $\mathbb{Z}_{12} \times L$, $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6) \times L$, $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times L).D_6$, $\mathbb{A}_4 \times L$, $L.\mathbb{A}_4$, $D_{12} \times L$ or $T \times L$.

## 2. Preliminary Results

It is well-known that $\mathrm{Aut}(D_4(4)) \cong D_4(4) : D_{12}$ where $D_{12}$ denotes the dihedral group of order 12. We remark that $D_{12}$ has the following non-trivial proper subgroups up to conjugacy: three subgroups of order 2, one cyclic subgroup each of order 3 and 6, two subgroups isomorphic to $D_6 \cong \mathbb{S}_3$ and one subgroup of order 4 isomorphic to the Klein's four group denoted by $2^2$. The field and the duality automorphisms of $D_4(4)$ are denoted by $2_1$ and $2_2$ respectively, and we set $2_3 = 2_1.2_2$ (field$*$duality which is called the diagonal automorphism). Therefore up to conjugacy we have the following almost simple groups related to $D_4(4)$.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If $G$ is an almost simple group related to $L{:=}D_4(4)$, then $G$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups:* $L, L : 2_1, L : 2_2, L : 2_3, L : 3, L : 2^2, L : (D_6)_1, L : (D_6)_2, L : 6, L : D_{12}$.

**Lemma 2.2** ([5]). *Let $G$ be a Frobenius group with kernel $K$ and complement $H$. Then:*

*(a) $K$ is a nilpotent group.*

*(b)* $|K| \equiv 1(\mathrm{mod}|H|)$.



[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime. We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_p$ the set of all simple groups with prime divisors at most $p$. Clearly, if $q \leq p$, then $\mathfrak{S}_q \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_p$. We list all the simple groups in class $\mathfrak{S}_{17}$ with their order and the order of their outer automorphisms in TABLE 1, taken from [12].

**TABLE 1: Simple groups in $\mathfrak{S}_p$, $p \leq 17$.**

| $S$ | $\|S\|$ | $\|\mathrm{Out}(S)\|$ | $S$ | $\|S\|$ | $\|\mathrm{Out}(S)\|$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $A_5$ | $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ | 2 | $G_2(3)$ | $2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ | 2 |
| $A_6$ | $2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$ | 4 | ${}^3D_4(2)$ | $2^{12} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13$ | 3 |
| $S_4(3)$ | $2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$ | 2 | $L_2(64)$ | $2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ | 6 |
| $L_2(7)$ | $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$ | 2 | $U_4(5)$ | $2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ | 4 |
| $L_2(8)$ | $2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$ | 3 | $L_3(9)$ | $2^7 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ | 4 |
| $U_3(3)$ | $2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$ | 2 | $S_6(3)$ | $2^9 \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ | 2 |
| $A_7$ | $2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ | 2 | $O_7(3)$ | $2^9 \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ | 2 |
| $L_2(49)$ | $2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2$ | 4 | $G_2(4)$ | $2^{12} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ | 2 |
| $U_3(5)$ | $2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7$ | 6 | $S_4(8)$ | $2^{12} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13$ | 6 |
| $L_3(4)$ | $2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ | 12 | $O_8^+(3)$ | $2^{12} \cdot 3^{12} \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ | 24 |
| $A_8$ | $2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ | 2 | $L_5(3)$ | $2^9 \cdot 3^{10} \cdot 5 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13$ | 2 |
| $A_9$ | $2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ | 2 | $A_{13}$ | $2^9 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$ | 2 |
| $J_2$ | $2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$ | 2 | $A_{14}$ | $2^{10} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$ | 2 |
| $A_{10}$ | $2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$ | 2 | $A_{15}$ | $2^{10} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$ | 2 |
| $U_4(3)$ | $2^7 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ | 8 | $L_6(3)$ | $2^{11} \cdot 3^{15} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13^2$ | 4 |
| $S_4(7)$ | $2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^4$ | 2 | $Suz$ | $2^{13} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$ | 2 |
| $S_6(2)$ | $2^9 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ | 1 | $A_{16}$ | $2^{14} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$ | 2 |
| $O_8^+(2)$ | $2^{12} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$ | 6 | $Fi_{22}$ | $2^{17} \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$ | 2 |
| $L_2(11)$ | $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ | 2 | $L_2(17)$ | $2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 17$ | 2 |
| $M_{11}$ | $2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ | 1 | $L_2(16)$ | $2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$ | 4 |
| $M_{12}$ | $2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ | 2 | $S_4(4)$ | $2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 17$ | 4 |
| $U_5(2)$ | $2^{10} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ | 2 | $He$ | $2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 17$ | 2 |
| $M_{22}$ | $2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ | 2 | $O_8^-(2)$ | $2^{12} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$ | 2 |
| $A_{11}$ | $2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ | 2 | $L_4(4)$ | $2^{12} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$ | 4 |
| $M^cL$ | $2^7 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ | 2 | $S_8(2)$ | $2^{16} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$ | 1 |
| $HS$ | $2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ | 2 | $U_4(4)$ | $2^{12} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$ | 4 |
| $A_{12}$ | $2^9 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ | 2 | $U_3(17)$ | $2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17^3$ | 6 |
| $U_6(2)$ | $2^{15} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ | 6 | $O_{10}^-(2)$ | $2^{20} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$ | 2 |
| $L_3(3)$ | $2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 13$ | 2 | $L_2(13^2)$ | $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 17$ | 4 |
| $L_2(25)$ | $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 13$ | 4 | $S_4(13)$ | $2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13^4 \cdot 17$ | 2 |
| $U_3(4)$ | $2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 13$ | 4 | $L_3(16)$ | $2^{12} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$ | 24 |
| $S_4(5)$ | $2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 13$ | 2 | $S_6(4)$ | $2^{18} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$ | 2 |
| $L_4(3)$ | $2^7 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$ | 4 | $O_8^+(4)$ | $2^{24} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17^2$ | 12 |
| ${}^2F_4(2)'$ | $2^{11} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 13$ | 2 | $F_4(2)$ | $2^{24} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$ | 2 |
| $L_2(13)$ | $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ | 2 | $A_{17}$ | $2^{14} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$ | 2 |
| $L_2(27)$ | $2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ | 6 | $A_{18}$ | $2^{15} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$ | 2 |



[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]

**Definition 2.3.** A completely reducible group will be called a $CR$-group. The center of a $CR$-group is a direct product of the abelian factor in the decomposition. Hence, a $CR$-group is centerless, that is, has trivial center, if and only if it is a direct product of non-abelian simple groups. The following Lemma determines the structure of the automorphism group of a centerless $CR$-group.

**Lemma 2.3** ([11])**.** *Let $R$ be a finite centerless $CR$-group and write $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times ... \times R_k$, where $R_i$ is a direct product of $n_i$ isomorphic copies of a simple group $H_i$, and $H_i$ and $H_j$ are not isomorphic if $i \neq j$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}(R) = \mathrm{Aut}(R_1) \times \mathrm{Aut}(R_2) \times ... \times \mathrm{Aut}(R_k)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(R_i) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(H_i) \wr \mathbb{S}_{n_i}$, where in this wreath product $\mathrm{Aut}(H_i)$ appears in its right regular representation and the symmetric group $\mathbb{S}_{n_i}$ in its natural permutation representation. Moreover, these isomorphisms induce isomorphisms $\mathrm{Out}(R) \cong \mathrm{Out}(R_1) \times \mathrm{Out}(R_2) \times ... \times \mathrm{Out}(R_k)$ and $\mathrm{Out}(R_i) \cong \mathrm{Out}(H_i) \wr \mathbb{S}_{n_i}$.*

## 3. OD-Characterization of Almost Simple Groups Related to $D_4(4)$

In this section, we study the problem of characterizing almost simple groups by order and degree pattern. Especially we will focus our attention on almost simple groups related to $L = D_4(4)$, namely, we will prove the Main Theorem of Sec. 1. We break the proof into a number of separate propositions.
By assumption, we depict all possibilities for the prime graph associated with $G$ by use of the variables for some vertices in each proposition. Also, we need to know the structure of $\Gamma(M)$ to determine the possibilities for $G$ in some proposition, therefore we depict the prime graph of all extension of $L$ in pages 18 to 20. Note that the set of order elements in each of the following propositions is calculated using Magma.

**Proposition 3.1.** *If $M = L$, then $G \cong L$.*

*Proof.* By TABLE 1 $|L| = 2^{24}.3^5.5^4.7.13.17^2$. $\pi_e(L) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 17, 20, 21, 30, 34, 51, 63, 65, 85, 255\}$, so $D(L) = (3, 4, 4, 1, 1, 3)$. Since $|G| = |L|$ and $D(G) = D(L)$, we conclude that the prime graph of $G$ has following form:




Figure 3.1

where $\{a, b\} = \{7, 13\}$.



[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]

We will show that $G$ is isomorphic to $L = D_4(4)$. We break up the proof into a several steps.

**Step1.** Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.

First we show that $K$ is a $17^{'}$-group. Assume the contrary and let $17 \in \pi(K)$. Then 13 dose not divide the order of $K$. Otherwise, we may suppose that $T$ is a Hall $\{13, 17\}$-subgroup of $K$. It is seen that $T$ is a nilpotent subgroup of order $13.17^i$ for $i = 1$ or 2. Thus, $13.17 \in \pi_e(K) \subseteq \pi_e(G)$, a contradiction. Thus $\{17\} \subseteq \pi(K) \subseteq \pi(G) - \{13\}$. Let $K_{17} \in \mathrm{Syl}_{17}(K)$. By Frattini argument, $G = KN_G(K_{17})$. Therefore, $N_G(K_{17})$ contains an element $x$ of order 13. Since $G$ has no element of order 13.17, $\langle\ x\rangle$ should act fixed point freely on $K_{17}$, that is implying $\langle x\rangle K_{17}$ is a Frobenius group. By Lemma 2.2(b), $|\langle x\rangle|| (|K_{17}| - 1)$. It follows that $13|17^i - 1$ for $i = 1$ or 2, which is a contradiction.

Next, we show that $K$ is a $p^{'}$-group for $p \in \{a, b\}$. Let $p||K|$ and $K_p \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(K)$. Now by Frattini argument, $G = KN_G(K_p)$, so 17 must divide the order of $N_G(K_p)$. Therefore, the normalizer $N_G(K_p)$ contains an element of order 17, say $x$. So $\langle x\rangle K_p$ is a cyclic subgroup of $G$ of order $17.p$, and so $p \sim 17$ in $\Gamma(G)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $K$ is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$-group. In addition, since $K$ is a proper subgroup of $G$, it follows that $G$ is non-solvable.

**Step 2.** The quotient $G/K$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq G/K \lesssim \mathrm{Aut(S)}$, where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group isomorphic to $L := D_4(4)$.

Let $\overline{G} = G/K$. Then $S := \mathrm{Soc}(\overline{G}) = P_1 \times P_2 \times ... \times P_m$, where $P_i^{,}s$ are finite non-abelian simple groups and $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(S)$. If we show that $m = 1$, the proof of Step 2 will be completed.

Suppose that $m \geq 2$. In this case, we claim that 13 does not divide $|S|$. Assume the contrary and let $13 \mid |S|$, on the other hand, $\{2,3\} \subset \pi(P_i)$ for every $i$ (by TABLE 1), hence $2 \sim 13$ and $3 \sim 13$, which is a contradiction. Now, by step 1, we observe that $13 \in \pi(\overline{G}) \subseteq \pi(\mathrm{Aut}(S))$. But $\mathrm{Aut}(S) = \mathrm{Aut}(S_1) \times \mathrm{Aut}(S_2) \times ... \times \mathrm{Aut}(S_r)$, where the groups $S_j$ are direct products of isomorphic $P_i$'s such that $S = S_1 \times S_2 \times ... \times S_r$. Therefore, for some $j$, 13 divides the order of an automorphism group of a direct product $S_j$ of $t$ isomorphic simple groups $P_i$. Since $P_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{17}$, it follows that $|\mathrm{Out}(P_i)|$ is not divisible by 13 (see TABLE 1). Now, by Lemma 2.3, we obtain $|\mathrm{Aut}(S_j)| = |\mathrm{Aut}(P_i)|^{t!}.t!$ . Therefore, $t \geq 13$ and so $2^{26}$ must divide the order of $G$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $m = 1$ and $S = P_1$.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^\alpha.3^\beta.5^\gamma.7.13.17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 24$, $1 \leq \beta \leq 5$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 4$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we deduce that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \trianglelefteq G/K \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(L)$ is completed. As $|G| = |L|$, we deduce $K = 1$, so $G \cong L$ and the proof is completed.

□



[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]

**Proposition 3.2.** *If $M = L : 2_1$, then $G \cong L : 2_1$ or $L : 2_3$.*

*Proof.* As $|L : 2_1| = 2^{25}.3^5.5^4.7.13.17^2$ and $\pi_e(L : 2_1) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 30, 34, 51, 63, 65, 85, 255\}$, then $D(L : 2_1) = (4, 4, 4, 2, 1, 3)$. Since $|G| = |L : 2_1|$ and $D(G) = D(L : 2_1)$, we conclude that there exist several possibilities for $\Gamma(G)$:




Figure 3.2

where $\{a, b, c\} = \{2, 3, 5\}$.

**Step1.** Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.
By a similar argument to that in Proposition 3.1, we can obtain this assertion.

**Step 2.** The quotient $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim$ Aut(S), where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.
The proof is similar to Step 2 of Proposition 3.1.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^{\alpha}.3^{\beta}.5^{\gamma}.7.13.17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 25$, $1 \leq \beta \leq 5$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 4$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \trianglelefteq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L : 2_1| = 2|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1$ or 2.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. Obviously, $G \cong L : 2_1$ or $L : 2_3$ because $deg(2) = 5$ in $\Gamma(L : 2_2)$ (see page 16).

If $|K| = 2$, then $K \leq Z(G)$ and so $deg(2) = 5$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *If $M = L : 2_2$, then $G \cong L : 2_2$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times L$.*

*Proof.* As $|L : 2_2| = 2^{25}.3^5.5^4.7.13.17^2$ and $\pi_e(L : 2_2) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 26, 30, 34, 40, 42, 51, 60, 63, 65, 68, 85, 102, 126, 130, 170, 255\}$, then $D(L : 2_2) = (5, 4, 4, 2, 2, 3)$. By assumption $|G| = |L : 2_2|$ and $D(G) = D(L : 2_2)$, so the prime graph of $G$ has following form:




Figure 3.3

where $\{a, b\} = \{7, 13\}$.

**Step1.** Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.
By similar arguments as in the proof of Step 1 in Proposition 3.1, we conclude that $K$ is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$-group and $G$ is non-solvable.

**Step 2.** The quotient $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim$ Aut(S), where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.

Let $\overline{G} = \frac{G}{K}$. Then $S := \mathrm{Soc}(\overline{G})$, $S = P_1 \times P_2 \times ... \times P_m$, where $P_i$'s are finite non-abelian simple groups and $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(S)$. We are going to prove that $m = 1$ and $S = P_1$. Suppose that $m \geq 2$. We claim $a$ does not divide $|S|$. Assume the contrary and let $a \mid |S|$, we conclude that a just divide the order of one of the simple groups $P_i$'$s$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a||P_1|$. Then the rest of the $P_i$'s must be $\{2, 3\}$-group (because only 2 and 3 are adjacent to a in $\Gamma(G)$), this is a contradiction because $P_i$'s are finite non-abelian simple groups. Now, by Step 1, we observe that $a \in \pi(\overline{G}) \subseteq \pi(\mathrm{Aut}(S))$. But $\mathrm{Aut}(S) = \mathrm{Aut}(S_1) \times \mathrm{Aut}(S_2) \times ... \times \mathrm{Aut}(S_r)$, where the groups $S_j$ are direct products of isomorphic $P_i$'s such that $S = S_1 \times S_2 \times ... \times S_r$. Therefore, for some $j$, $a$ divides the order of an automorphism group of a direct product $S_j$ of $t$ isomorphic simple groups $P_i$. Since $P_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{17}$, it follows that $|\mathrm{Out}(P_i)|$ is not divisible by a (see TABLE 1), so a does not divide the order of $\mathrm{Aut}(P_i)$. Now, by Lemma 2.3, we obtain $|\mathrm{Aut}(S_j)| = |\mathrm{Aut}(P_i)|^{t!}.t!$. Therefore, $t \geq a$ and so $3^a$ must divide the order of $G$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $m = 1$ and $S = P_1$.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^\alpha.3^\beta.5^\gamma.7.13.17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 25$, $1 \leq \beta \leq 5$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 4$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \trianglelefteq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L : 2_2| = 2|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1$ or 2.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$ because $|G| = 2|L|$. It is obvious that $G \cong L : 2_2$, because $deg(13) = 1$ in $\Gamma(L : 2_1)$ and $\Gamma(L : 2_3)$ (see page 17).

If $|K| = 2$, then $G/K \cong L$ and $K \leq Z(G)$. It follows that $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L$. If $G$ is a non-split extension of $K$ by $L$, then $|K|$ must divide the Schur multiplier of $L$, which is 1. But this is a contradiction, so we obtain that $G$ split over $|K|$. Hence $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times L$. □

**Proposition 3.4.** *If $M = L : 2_3$, then $G \cong L : 2_3$ or $L : 2_1$.*

*Proof.* As $|L : 2_3| = 2^{25}.3^5.5^4.7.13.17^2$ and $\pi_e(L : 2_3) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 30, 34, 51, 63, 65, 85, 255\}$, then $D(L : 2_3) = (4, 4, 4, 2, 1, 3)$. Since $|G| = |L : 2_3|$ and $D(G) = D(L : 2_3)$, we conclude that $\Gamma(G)$ has the following form similarly to Proposition 3.2:


[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]




Figure 3.4

where $\{a, b, c\} = \{2, 3, 5\}$.

**Step1.** Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.
We can prove this by the similar way to that in Proposition 3.2.

**Step 2.** The quotient $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \mathrm{Aut(S)}$, where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.
By using a similar argument, as in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we can verify that $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^{\alpha}.3^{\beta}.5^{\gamma}.7.13.17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 25$, $1 \leq \beta \leq 5$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 4$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \trianglelefteq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L : 2_3| = 2|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1$ or 2.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$ because $|G| = 2|L|$. Obviously, $G \cong L : 2_3$ or $L : 2_1$, because $deg(2) = 5$ in $\Gamma(L : 2_2)$ (see page 16).

If $|K| = 2$, then $K \leq Z(G)$ and so $deg(2) = 5$, which is a contradiction. □

**Proposition 3.5.** *If $M = L : 3$, then $G \cong L : 3$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times L$.*

*Proof.* As $|L : 3| = 2^{24}.3^6.5^4.7.13.17^2$ and $\pi_e(L : 3) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 30, 34, 39, 45, 51, 63, 65, 85, 255\}$, then $D(L : 3) = (3, 5, 4, 1, 2, 3)$. since $|G| = |L : 3|$ and $D(G) = D(L : 3)$, we conclude that $\Gamma(G)$ has the following form (like $\Gamma(L : 3)$):




Figure 3.5

**Step1.** Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2, 3\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.

First, we show that $K$ is a $p'$-group for $p = 7, 13$ and 17. Since the proof is quite similar to the proof of Step 1 in Proposition 3.1, so we avoid here full explanation of all details.


[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]

Next we consider $K$ is a $5^{'}$-group. Assume the contrary, $5 \in \pi_e(K)$. Let $K_5 \in \mathrm{Syl}_5(K)$. By Frattini argument, $G = KN_G(K_5)$. Therefore, $N_G(K_5)$ has an element $x$ of order 7. Since $G$ has no element of order 5.7, $\langle x\rangle$ should act fixed point freely on $K_5$, implying $\langle x\rangle K_5$ is a Frobenius group. By Lemma 2.2(b), $|\langle x\rangle|\,|(|K_5|-1)$, which is impossible. Therefore $K$ is a $\{2,3\}$-group.
In addition since $K$ is a proper subgroup of $G$, then $G$ is non-solvable and the proof of this step is completed.

**Step 2.** The quotient $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim$ Aut(S), where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.
In a similar way as in the proof of Step 2 in Proposition 3.1, we conclude that $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^{\alpha}.3^{\beta}.5^4.7.13.17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 24$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq 6$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \trianglelefteq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L : 3| = 3|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1$ or 3.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L : 3$.

If $|K| = 3$, then $G/K \cong L$. In this case we have $G/C_G(K) \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or 2. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is, $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L$. If $G$ is a non-split extension of $K$ by $L$, then $|K|$ must divide the Schur multiplier of $L$, which is 1. But this is a contradiction, so we obtain that $G$ split over $K$. Hence $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times L$. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then $K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L$, which is a contradiction since $L$ is simple. □

**Proposition 3.6.** *If $M = L : 2^2$, then $G \cong L : 2^2$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 2_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 2_2)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 2_3)$, $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times L$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times L$.*

*Proof.* As $|L : 2^2| = 2^{26}.3^5.5^4.7.13.17^2$ and $\pi_e(L : 2^2) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 26, 30, 34, 42, 51, 60, 63, 65, 68, 85, 102, 126, 130, 170, 255\}$, then $D(L : 2^2) = (5, 4, 4, 2, 2, 3)$. Since $|G| = |L : 2^2|$ and $D(G) = D(L : 2^2)$, so the prime graph of $G$ has following form similarly to Proposition 3.3:




Figure 3.6

where $\{a, b\} = \{7, 13\}$.


[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]

**Step1.** Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2,3,5\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.
According to Step 1 in Proposition 3.3, we have $K$ is a $\{2,3,5\}$-group and $G$ is non-solvable.

**Step 2.** The quotient $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \mathrm{Aut(S)}$, where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.
We can prove this by the similar argument in Step 2 in Proposition 3.3.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^{\alpha}.3^{\beta}.5^{\gamma}.7.13.17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 26$, $1 \leq \beta \leq 5$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 4$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \trianglelefteq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L : 2^2| = 4|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1, 2$ or $4$.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L : 2^2$.

If $|K| = 2$, then $K \leq Z(G)$. In this case $G$ is a central extension of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ by $L : 2_1$, $L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. If $G$ splits over $K$ then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 2_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 2_2)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 2_3)$, otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ and $L : 2_3$, which is impossible.

If $|K| = 4$, then $G/K \cong L$. In this case we have $G/C_G(K) \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $S_3$. Thus $|G/C_G(K)| = 1, 2, 3$ or $6$. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is, $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L$. If $G$ is a non-split extension of $K$ by $L$, then $|K|$ must divide the Schur multiplier of $L$, which is 1, but this is a contradiction. Therefore $G$ splits over $K$. Hence $G \cong K \times L$. So we have $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times L$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times L$ because $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2, 3$ or $6$, then $K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L$. Which is a contradiction, since $L$ is simple. □

**Proposition 3.7.** *If $M = L : (D_6)_1$, then $G \cong L : (D_6)_1$, $L : 6$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_3)$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_2$.*

*Proof.* As $|L : (D_6)_1| = 2^{25}.3^6.5^4.7.13.17^2$ and $\pi_e(L : (D_6)_1) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 30, 34, 39, 42, 45, 51, 60, 63, 65, 85, 255\}$, then $D(L : (D_6)_1) = (4, 5, 4, 2, 2, 3)$. Since $|G| = |L : (D_6)_1|$ and $D(G) = D(L : (D_6)_1)$, we conclude that there exist several possibilities for $\Gamma(G)$:




Figure 3.7


[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]

where $\{a, b\} = \{7, 13\}$.

**Step1.** Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.
By the similar argument to that in Step 1 in Proposition 3.1, we can obtain this assertion.

**Step 2.** The quotient $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim$ Aut(S), where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.
The proof is similar to Step 2 in Proposition 3.3.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^{\alpha}.3^{\beta}.5^{\gamma}.7.13.17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 25$, $1 \leq \beta \leq 6$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 4$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \trianglelefteq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L : D_6)_1| = 6|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1, 2, 3$ or 6.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L : (D_6)_1, L : (D_6)_2$ or $L : 6$ because $|G| = 6|L|$. Obviously, $G \cong L : (D_6)_1$ or $L : 6$ because $deg(2) = 5$ in $\Gamma(L : (D_6)_2)$.

If $|K| = 2$, then $K \leq Z(G)$ and so $deg(2) = 5$, which is a contradiction (see page 18).

If $|K| = 3$, then $G/K \cong L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. But $G/C_G(K) \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or 2. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is, $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. If $G$ splits over $K$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_1)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_3)$ because in $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_2))$ the degree of 2 is 5. Otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ and $L : 2_3$, which is impossible. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then $K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$, we obtain $C_G(K)/K \cong L$. Since $K \leq Z(C_G(K))$, $C_G(K)$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L$. If $C_G(K)$ splits over $K$, then $C_G(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times L$, otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L$, which is impossible. Therefore, $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_2$.

If $|K| = 6$, then $G/K \cong L$ and $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$ or $D_6$.
If $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$, then $G/C_G(K) \lesssim \mathbb{Z}_2$ and so $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or 2. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$. It follows that $deg(2) = 5$, a contradiction. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then $K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L$, which is a contradiction because $L$ is simple.
If $K \cong D_6$, then $K \cap C_G(K) = 1$ and $G/C_G(K) \lesssim D_6$. Thus $C_G(K) \neq 1$. Hence, $1 \neq C_G(K) \cong C_G(K)K/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L$. It follows that $L \cong G/K \cong C_G(K)$ because $L$ is simple. Therefore, $G \cong D_6 \times L$, which implies that $deg(2) = 5$, a contradiction. □

**Proposition 3.8.** *If $M = L : (D_6)_2$, then $G \cong L : (D_6)_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 3)$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_2)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times L$ or $S_3 \times L$.*


[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]

*Proof.* As $|L:(D_6)_2| = 2^{25}.3^6.5^4.7.13.17^2$ and $\pi_e(L:(D_6)_2) = \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8$ $,9,10,12,13,14,15,17,18,20,21,24,26,30,34,39,40,42,45,51,60,63,65,68,85$ $,102,126,130,170,255\}$, then $D(L:(D_6)_2) = (5,5,4,2,3,3)$. Since $|G| = |L:(D_6)_2|$ and $D(G) = D(L:(D_6)_2)$, we conclude that $\Gamma(G)$ has the following form (like $\Gamma(L:(D_6)_2)$):




Figure 3.8

**Step1.** Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2,3\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.
The proof is similar to Step 1 in Proposition 3.5.

**Step 2.** The quotient $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim$ Aut(S), where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.

Let $\overline{G} = \frac{G}{K}$. Then $S := \mathrm{Soc}(\overline{G})$, $S = P_1 \times P_2 \times ... \times P_m$, where $P_i$'s are finite non-abelian simple groups and $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(S)$. We are going to prove that $m = 1$ and $S = P_1$. Suppose that $m \geq 2$. By the same argument in Step 2 of Proposition 3.3 and considering 7 instead of a, we get a contradiction. Therefore $m = 1$ and $S = P_1$.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^{\alpha}.3^{\beta}.5^4.7.13.17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 25$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq 6$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \trianglelefteq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L:D_6)_2| = 6|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1, 2, 3$ or $6$.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L:(D_6)_1, L:(D_6)_2$ or $L:6$ because $|G| = 6|L|$. Obviously $G \cong L:(D_6)_2$ because in $\Gamma(L:(D_6)_1)$ and $\Gamma(L:6)$, we have $deg(13) = 2$(see page 17).

If $|K| = 2$, then $K \leq Z(G)$ and $G/K \cong L:3$. Hence $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L:3$. If $G$ splits over $K$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L:3)$. Otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L:3$, which is impossible.

If $|K| = 3$, then $G/K \cong L:2_1, L:2_2$ or $L:2_3$. But $G/C_G(K) \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or $2$. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is, $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L:2_1, L:2_2$ or $L:2_3$. If $G$ splits over $K$, then only $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L:2_2)$ because $2 \nsim 13$ in $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L:2_1))$ and $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L:2_3))$. Otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L:2_1, L:2_2$ and $L:2_3$, which is impossible. If


[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]

$|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then $K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L:2_1, L:2_2$ or $L:2_3$, we obtain $C_G(K)/K \cong L$. Since $K \leq Z(C_G(K))$, $C_G(K)$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L$. If $C_G(K)$ splits over $K$, then $C_G(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times L$, otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L$, which is impossible. Therefore, $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_2$.

If $|K| = 6$, then $G/K \cong L$ and $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$ or $D_6$. If $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$, then $G/C_G(K) \lesssim \mathbb{Z}_2$ and so $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or 2. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$ and $G/K \cong L$. Therefore $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L$. If $G$ is a non-split extension of $K$ by $L$, then $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L$, which is 1. But this is a contradiction. So we obtain that $G$ splits over $K$. Hence $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_6 \times L$. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then $K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L$, which is a contradiction because $L$ is simple. If $K \cong D_6$, then $K \cap C_G(K) = 1$ and $G/C_G(K) \lesssim D_6$. Thus $C_G(K) \neq 1$. Hence, $1 \neq C_G(K) \cong C_G(K)K/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L$. It follows that $L \cong G/K \cong C_G(K)$ because $L$ is simple. Therefore $G \cong D_6 \times L$. □

**Proposition 3.9.** *If $M = L:6$, then $G \cong L:6$, $L:(D_6)_1$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L:2_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L:2_3)$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_2$.*

*Proof.* As $|L:6| = 2^{25}.3^6.5^4.7.13.17^2$ and $\pi_e(L:6) = \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,20,21,24,30,34,36,39,42,45,48,51,63,65,85,255\}$, then $D(L:6) = (4,5,4,2,2,3)$. Since $|G| = |L:6|$ and $D(G) = D(L:6)$, there exist several possibilities for $\Gamma(G)$ similarly to Proposition 3.7:




Figure 3.9

where $\{a,b\} = \{7,13\}$.

**Step1.** Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2,3,5\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.
The proof is similar to that in Proposition 3.3.

**Step 2.** The quotient $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \mathrm{Aut(S)}$, where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.
Again we refer to Step 2 of proposition 3.3 to get the proof.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^\alpha.3^\beta.5^\gamma.7.13.17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 25$, $1 \leq \beta \leq 6$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 4$. Now, using collected results contained


[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]

in TABLE 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \trianglelefteq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L:6| = 6|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1, 2, 3$ or $6$.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L:6$, $L:(D_6)_1$ or $L:(D_6)_2$ because $|G| = 6|L|$. Obviously, $G \cong L:6$ or $L:(D_6)_1$ because $deg(2) = 5$ in $\Gamma(L:(D_6)_2)$ (see page 18).

If $|K| = 2$, then $K \leq Z(G)$ and so $deg(2) = 5$, which is a contradiction.

If $|K| = 3$, then $G/K \cong L:2_1, L:2_2$ or $L:2_3$. But $G/C_G(K) \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or 2. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is, $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L:2_1, L:2_2$ or $L:2_3$. If $G$ splits over $K$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L:2_1)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L:2_3)$ because in $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L:2_2))$ the degree of 2 is 5. Otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L:2_1, L:2_2$ and $L:2_3$, which is impossible. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then $K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L:2_1, L:2_2$ or $L:2_3$, we obtain $C_G(K)/K \cong L$. Since $K \leq Z(C_G(K))$, $C_G(K)$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L$. If $C_G(K)$ splits over $K$, then $C_G(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times L$, otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L$, which is impossible. Therefore, $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_2$.

If $|K| = 6$, then $G/K \cong L$ and $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$ or $D_6$. If $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$, then $G/C_G(K) \lesssim \mathbb{Z}_2$ and so $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or 2. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$. It follows that $deg(2) = 5$, a contradiction. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then $K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L$, which is a contradiction because $L$ is simple. If $K \cong D_6$, then $K \cap C_G(K) = 1$ and $G/C_G(K) \lesssim D_6$. Thus $C_G(K) \neq 1$. Hence, $1 \neq C_G(K) \cong C_G(K)K/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L$. It follows that $L \cong G/K \cong C_G(K)$ because $L$ is simple. Therefore, $G \cong D_6 \times L$, which implies that $deg(2) = 5$, a contradiction. □

**Proposition 3.10.** *If $M = L:D_{12}$, then $G \cong L:D_{12}$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L:(D_6)_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L:(D_6)_2)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L:6)$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L:2^2)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L:2_1)).\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L:2_2)).\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L:2_3)).\mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times (L:3)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times (L:3)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_3$, $((\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times L).\mathbb{Z}_3$, $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times (L:2_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times (L:2_2)$ , $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times (L:2_3)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_6 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_2$, $S_3 \times (L:2_1)$, $S_3 \times (L:2_2)$, $S_3 \times (L:2_3)$, $\mathbb{Z}_{12} \times L$, $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6) \times L$, $D_{12} \times L$, $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times L).D_6$, $\mathbb{A}_4 \times L$, $L.\mathbb{A}_4$ or $T \times L$.*

*Proof.* As $|L:D_{12}| = 2^{26}.3^6.5^4.7.13.17^2$ and $\pi_e(L:(D_{12})) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 26, 30, 34, 39, 40, 42, 45, 48, 51, 60, 63, 65, 68, 85, 102, 126, 130, 170, 255\}$, then $D(L:D_{12}) = (5, 5, 4, 2, 3, 3)$. Since $|G| = |L:D_{12}|$ and $D(G) = D(L:D_{12})$, we conclude that $\Gamma(G)$ has the following form (like $\Gamma(L:D_{12})$):



[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]




Figure 3.10

**Step1.** Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2,3\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.
The proof is similar to Step 1 in Proposition 3.5.

**Step 2.** The quotient $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim$ Aut(S), where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.
To get the proof, follow the way in the proof of Step 2 in proposition 3.5.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^{\alpha}.3^{\beta}.5^4.7.13.17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 26$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq 6$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \trianglelefteq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L : D_{12}| = 12|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$ or 12.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L : D_{12}$.

If $|K| = 2$, then $G/K \cong L : (D_6)_1, L : (D_6)_2$ or $L : 6$ and $K \leq Z(G)$. It follows that $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L : (D_6)_1, L : (D_6)_2$ or $L : 6$. If $G$ splits over $K$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : (D_6)_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : (D_6)_2)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 6)$. Otherwise $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.(L : (D_6)_1)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2.(L : (D_6)_2)$.

If $|K| = 3$, then $G/K \cong L : 2^2$. But $G/C_G(K) \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or 2. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is, $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L : 2^2$. If $G$ splits over $K$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2^2)$, Otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L : 2^2$, which is impossible. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then $K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L : 2^2$, and we obtain $C_G(K)/K \cong L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. Since $K \leq Z(C_G(K))$, $C_G(K)$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. Thus $C_G(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_2)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_3)$, otherwise we get a contradiction because 3 must divide the Schure multiplier of $L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$, which is impossible. Therefore, $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_1)).\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_2)).\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_3)).\mathbb{Z}_2$.

If $|K| = 4$, then $G/K \cong L : 3$ and $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. In this case we have $G/C_G(K) \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $S_3$. Thus $|G/C_G(K)| = 1, 2, 3$ or 6. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is, $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L : 3$. If $G$ split over $K$ by $L : 3$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times (L : 3)$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times (L : 3)$. Otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L : 3$, which is impossible. If $|G/C_G(K)| \neq 1$, since $|G/C_G(K)| = 2, 3$ or 6, it follows that $K < C_G(K)$. As $L$ is simple, we conclude that $1 \neq C_G(K)/K$ must



[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]

be an extension of $L$. Hence $|G/C_G(K)| = 3$ and therefore $C_G(K)/K \cong L$. Now, since $K \leq Z(C_G(K))$, we conclude that $C_G(K)$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L$. Thus $C_G(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times L$, or $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times L$, otherwise $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L$, which is 1 and it is impossible. Therefore, $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_4 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_3$ or $((\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times L).\mathbb{Z}_3$.

If $|K| = 6$, then $G/K \cong L : 2_1$, $L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$ and $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$ or $D_6$. If $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$, then $G/C_G(K) \lesssim \mathbb{Z}_2$ and so $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or 2. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is $G$ is a central extension of $\mathbb{Z}_6$ by $L : 2_1$, $L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. If $G$ splits over $K$, we obtain $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_6 \times (L : 2_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times (L : 2_2)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times (L : 2_3)$, otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$, which is impossible. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then $K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L : 2_1$, $L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$, and we obtain $C_G(K)/K \cong L$. Since $K \leq Z(C_G(K))$, $C_G(K)$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L$. Thus $C_G(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_6 \times L$, otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L$. Therefore $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_6 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_2$. If $K \cong D_6$, then $G/C_G(K) \lesssim D_6$ and so $|G/C_G(K)| = 1, 2, 3$ or 6. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is a contradiction. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then we have $|KC_G(K)| = 6.|G|/2 = 3|G|$ because $K \cap C_G(K) = 1$, which is a contradiction. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 3$, then we have $|KC_G(K)| = 6.|G|/3 = 2|G|$ because $K \cap C_G(K) = 1$, which is a contradiction. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 6$, then $G/C_G(K) \cong D_6$ and $C_G(K) \neq 1$. Hence, $1 \neq C_G(K) \cong C_G(K)K/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. It follows that $C_G(K) \cong L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$ because $L$ is simple. Therefore, $G \cong D_6 \times (L : 2_1)$, $D_6 \times (L : 2_2)$ or $D_6 \times (L : 2_3)$.

Before processing the last case, we recall the following facts.

There exist five non-isomorphic groups of order 12. Two of them are abelian and three are non-abelian. The non-abelian groups are: alternating group $A_4$, dihedral group $D_{12}$ and the dicyclic group $T$ with generators $a$ and $b$, subject to the relations $a^6 = 1$, $a^3 = b^2$ and $b^{-1}ab = a^{-1}$.

If $|K| = 12$, then $G/K \cong L$ and $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_{12}$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$, $D_{12}$, $\mathbb{A}_4$ or $T$. But $C_G(K)K/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L$. If $C_G(K)K/K = 1$, then $C_G(K) \leq K$ and hence $|L| = |G/K|||G/C_G(K)|||\mathrm{Aut}(K)|$. Thus $|L|||\mathrm{Aut}(K)|$, a contradiction. Therefore, $C_G(K)K/K \neq 1$ and since $L$ is simple group, we conclude that $G = C_G(K)K$ and hence, $G/C_G(K) \cong K/Z(K)$. Now, we should consider the following cases:

If $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$, then $G/C_G(K) = 1$. Therefore $K \leq Z(G)$, that is $G$ is a central extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$ by $L$. If $G$ splits over $K$, we obtain $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{12} \times L$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6) \times L$, otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L$, which is 1 and it is impossible.



[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]

If $K \cong D_{12}$, then $G = K.L$ and $G/C_G(K) \cong D_6$. Since $C_G(K)/Z(K) \cong G/K \cong L$ and $Z(K) \leq Z(C_G(K))$, we conclude that $C_G(K)$ is a central extension of $Z(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ by $L$. If $C_G(K)$ is a non-split extension, then 2 must divide the Schure multiplier of $L$, which is 1 and it is impossible. Thus $C_G(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times L$ and hence, $G$ is a split extension of $K$ by $L$. Now, since $\mathrm{Hom}(L, \mathrm{Aut}(D_{12}))$ is trivial, we have $G \cong D_{12} \times L$.

If $K \cong \mathbb{A}_4$, then $G/C_G(K) \cong \mathbb{A}_4$. As $G = C_G(K)K$, It follows that $C_G(K) \cong L$. Therefore $G \cong L \times \mathbb{A}_4$ or $L.\mathbb{A}_4$.

If $K \cong T$, then By the similar way in case $K \cong D_{12}$, we can conclude that $G$ is a split extension of $K$ by $L$. Also, since $\mathrm{Hom}(L, \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ is trivial, we have $G \cong T \times L$. $\square$

According to what we said before the proof, here we depict $\Gamma(M)$ by $|M|$ and $\pi_e(M)$, where $M$ is an almost simple group related to $L = D_4(4)$.




$\Gamma(L)$




$\Gamma(L:2_1)$




$\Gamma(L:2_2)$



[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]




$\Gamma(L:2_3)$




$\Gamma(L:3)$




$\Gamma(L:2^2)$




$\Gamma(L:(D_6)_1)$



[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]




$\Gamma(L : (D_6)_2)$




$\Gamma(L : 6)$




$\Gamma(L : D_{12})$

## 4. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank professor Derek Holt for sending us the set of element orders of all possible extensions of $D_4(4)$ by subgroups of the outer automorphism. The first author would like to thank Shahrekord University for financial support.



[ DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003 ]

## References


1. J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups*, Clarendon Press (Oxford), London - New York, 1985.
2. M. R. Darafsheh, G. R. Rezaeezadeh, M. bibak, M. Sajjadi, OD-Characterization of almost simple groups related to ${}^2E_6(2)$, *Advances in Aljebra*, **6**, (2013), 45-54.
3. M. R. Darafsheh, G. R. Rezaeezadeh, M. Sajjadi, M. Bibak, OD-Characterization of almost simple groups related to $U_3(17)$, *Quasigroups and related systems*, **21**, (2013), 49-58.
4. M. Foroudi ghasemabadi, N. Ahanjideh, Characterization of the simple groups $D_n(3)$ by prime graph and spectrum, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, ,**7**(1), (2012), 91-106.
5. D. Gorenstein, *Finite groups*, New York: Harper and Row, 1980.
6. A. A. Hoseini, A. R. Moghaddamfar, Recognizing alternating groups $A_{p+3}$ for certain primes $p$ by their orders and degree patterns, *Front. Math. China*, **5**(3), (2010), 541-553.
7. A. R. Moghaddamfar, A. R. Zokayi, M. R. Darafsheh, A characterization of finit simole groups by the degree of vertices of their prime graphs, *Algebra Colloquium*, **12**(3), (2005), 431-442.
8. A. R. Moghaddamfar, A. R. Zokayi, Recognizing finite groups through order and degree patterns, *Algebra Colloquium*, **15**(3), (2008), 449-456.
9. G. R. Rezaeezadeh, M. Bibak, M. Sajjadi, Characterization of Projective Special linear Groups in Dimention three by their orders and degree patterns, *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, in press.
10. G. R. Rezaeezadeh, M. R. Darafsheh, M. Sajjadi, M. Bibak, OD-characterization of almost simple groups related to $L_3(25)$, *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, in press.
11. J. S. Robinson Derek, *A course in the theory of groups*, 2nd ed. New York-Heidelberg-Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2003.
12. A. Zavarnitsin, Finite simple groups with narrow prime spectrum, *Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports*, **6**, (2009), 1-12.
13. L. C. Zhang, W. J. Shi, OD-characterization of almost simple groups related to $L_2(49)$, *Archivum Mathematicum (Brno) Tomus*, **44**, (2008), 191-199.
14. L. C. Zhang, W. J. Shi, OD-Characterization of simple $K_4$-groups, *Algebra Colloquium*, **16**(2), (2009), 275-282.
15. L. C. Zhang, W. J. Shi, OD-characterization of almost simple groups related to $U_3(5)$, *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, **26**(1), (2010), 161-168.
16. L. C. Zhang, W. J. Shi, OD-characterization of almost simple groups related to $U_6(2)$, *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, **31**(2), (2011), 441-450.
17. L. C. Zhang, W. J. Shi, OD-Characterization of the projective special linear groups $L_2(q)$, *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, **19**, (2012), 509-524.