

## Stabilization of a Type III Thermoelastic Bresse System with Distributed Delay-time

Lamine Bouzettouta

University of 20 August 1955, Skikda, Algeria

E-mail: lami\_750000@yahoo.fr; bouzettouta@univ-skikda.dz

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate a Bresse-type system of thermoelasticity of type III in the presence of a distributed delay. We prove the well-posedness of the problem. Furthermore, an exponential stability result will be shown without the usual assumption on the wave speeds. To achieve our goals, we make use of the semigroup method and the energy method.

**Keywords:** Bresse system, Delay terms, Decay rate, Lyapunov methode, Thermoelastic.

**2000 Mathematics subject classification:** 35B40, 35L70, 93D15.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Originally the Bresse system consists of three wave equations where the main variables describing the longitudinal, vertical and shear angle displacements, which can be represented as (see [6]):

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1 \varphi_{tt} = Q_x + lN + F_1 \\ \rho_2 \psi_{tt} = M_x - Q + F_2 \\ \rho_1 w_{tt} = N_x - IQ + F_3, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$N = k_0 (w_x - l\varphi), Q = k (\varphi_x + lw + \psi), M = b\psi_x$$

---

Received 02 February 2019; Accepted 30 May 2020  
©2023 Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research TMU

We use  $N, Q$  and  $M$  to denote respectively the axial force, the shear force and the bending moment. By  $w, \varphi$  and  $\psi$  we are denoting respectively the longitudinal, vertical and shear angle displacements. Here  $\rho_1 = \rho A = \rho I, k_0 = EA, k = k'GA$  and  $l = R^{-1}$ . For material properties, we use  $\rho$  for density,  $E$  for the modulus of elasticity,  $G$  for the shear modulus,  $K$  for the shear factor,  $A$  for the cross-sectional area,  $I$  for the second moment of area of the cross-section and  $R$  for the radius of curvature and we assume that all this quantities are positives. Also by  $F_i$  we are denoting external forces. System (1.1) is an undamped system and its associated energy remains constant when the time  $t$  evolves. To stabilize system (1.1), many damping terms have been considered by several authors. (see [1], [3], [11]). Messaoudi et al. [12] established an exponential stability result for the Timoshenko-type system with thermoelasticity and second sound. Apalara in [2] obtained an exponential stability result for the following linear damped Timoshenko system with second sound and internal distributed delay,

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1 \varphi_{tt} - k(\varphi_x + \psi)_x + \mu_1 \varphi_t + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) \varphi_t(x, t-s) ds = 0, & \text{in } (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \\ \rho_2 \psi_{tt} - b\psi_{xx} + k(\varphi_x + \psi) + \delta \theta_x = 0, & \text{in } (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \\ \rho_3 \theta_t + q_x + \delta \psi_{tx} = 0, & \text{in } (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \\ \tau q_t + \beta q + \theta_x = 0, & \text{in } (0, 1) \times (0, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Mustapha and Kafini [13] added the distributed delay term in heat equation and proved the exponential decay result under a suitable assumption on the weight of delay.

In [4] Bouzettouta et al examined a Bresse system with internal distributed delay in the feedback,

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1 \varphi_{tt} - Gh(\varphi_{xx} + lw_x + \psi_x) - Ehl(w_x - l\varphi) + \mu_0 \varphi_t + \rho_1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu(s) \varphi_t(x, t-s) ds = 0 \\ \rho_2 \psi_{tt} - EI\psi_{xx} + Gh(\varphi_x + lw + \psi) = 0 \\ \rho_1 w_{tt} - Eh(w_{xx} - l\varphi_x) + lGh(\varphi_x + lw + \psi) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $(x, t) \in ]0, L[ \times \mathbb{R}_+$  with the Dirichlet and initial conditions. Regarding the similar result concerning boundary distributed delay (see [2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14]).

In the present paper we are concerned at the Bresse system with a distributed delay term,

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1 \phi_{tt} - k(\phi_x + lw + \psi)_x - k_0 l(w_x - l\phi) + \mu_1 \phi_t + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) \phi_t(x, t-s) ds = 0 \\ \rho_2 \psi_{tt} - b\psi_{xx} + k(\phi_x + lw + \psi) + \beta \theta_{tx} = 0 \\ \rho_1 w_{tt} - k_0(w_x - l\phi)_x + kl(\phi_x + lw + \psi) = 0 \\ \rho_3 \theta_{tt} - \delta \theta_{xx} + \beta \psi_{ttx} - k\theta_{txx} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $(x, t) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , with the following boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(0, t) &= \phi(1, t) = \psi_x(0, t) = \psi_x(1, t) = w_x(0, t) = w_x(1, t) \\ &= \theta(0, t) = \theta(1, t) = 0, t > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

and the initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} \phi(x, 0) = \phi_0(x), \phi_t(x, 0) = \phi_1(x), \psi(x, 0) = \psi_0(x), \\ \psi_t(x, 0) = \psi_1(x), w(x, 0) = w_0(x), w_t(x, 0) = w_1(x), \\ \theta(x, 0) = \theta_0(x), \theta_t(x, 0) = \theta_1(x) \\ \phi_t(x, -\tau) = f(x, t) \text{ in } 0 < t \leq \tau_2, \\ \phi(0, t) = \psi_x(0, t) = w_x(0, t) = \theta(0, t) = 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \\ \phi_x(1, t) = \psi(1, t) = w(1, t) = 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

$\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are two real numbers with  $0 \leq \tau_1 < \tau_2$ ,  $\mu_1 > 0$  is a positive constant,  $\mu_2 : [\tau_1, \tau_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $L^\infty$  function,  $\mu_2 \geq 0$  almost everywhere, and the initial data  $(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \psi_0, \psi_1, w_0, w_1, \theta_0, \theta_1, f_0)$ . belong to a suitable space (see below)

And under the assumption

$$\mu_1 \geq \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) ds. \quad (1.5)$$

The aim of this paper is to study the well-posedness and asymptotic stability of system(1.2)-(1.4).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND WELL-POSEDNESS

In this section we first prove the existence and uniqueness of regular solutions to problem (1.2)-(1.4) by using a semigroup theory as in [17], and Introduce the following new variable [16].

In order to exhibit the dissipative nature of (1.2), we differentiate the first, the second and the third equations of system (1.2) with respect to  $t$  and introduce new dependent variables  $\Phi = \varphi_t, \Psi = \psi_t, \mathbf{w} = w_t$  and  $z(x, \rho, t, s) = \Phi_t(x, t - \rho s)$ .

$$z(x, \rho, t, s) = \Phi_t(x, t - \rho s), x \in (0, 1), \rho \in (0, 1), s \in (\tau_1, \tau_2), t > 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Then, we have

$$sz_t(x, \rho, t, s) + z_\rho(x, \rho, t, s) = 0 \text{ in } (0, 1) \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \times s \in (\tau_1, \tau_2). \quad (2.2)$$

Therefore, problem (1.2) takes the form

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1 \Phi_{tt} - k(\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)_x - lk_0(\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi) + \mu_1 \Phi_t \\ + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s)z(x, 1, t, s) ds = 0, \\ sz_t(x, \rho, t) + z_\rho(x, \rho, t) = 0, \\ \rho_2 \Psi_{tt} - b\Psi_{xx} + k(\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi) + \beta\theta_{tx} = 0, \\ \rho_1 \mathbf{w}_{tt} - k_0(\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)_x + lk(\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi) = 0, \\ \rho_3 \theta_{tt} - \delta\theta_{xx} + \beta\Psi_{tx} - k\theta_{txx} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

With the initial and boundary conditions:

$$\Phi(0, t) = \Phi(1, t) = \Psi(0, t) = \Psi(1, t) = \mathbf{w}(0, t) = \mathbf{w}(1, t) = 0, t > 0. \quad (2.4)$$

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(x, 0) = \Phi_0(x), \Phi_t(x, 0) = \Phi_1(x), \Psi(x, 0) = \Psi_0(x), \\ \Psi_t(x, 0) = \Psi_1(x), \mathbf{w}(x, 0) = \mathbf{w}_0(x), \mathbf{w}_t(x, 0) = \mathbf{w}_1(x), x \in (0, 1) \\ \theta(x, 0) = \theta_0(x), \theta_t(x, 0) = \theta_1(x) \text{ in } (0, \infty) \\ z(x, 0, t, s) = \Phi_t(x, t) \text{ on } (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \times (\tau_1, \tau_2), \\ z(x, \rho, 0, s) = f_0(x, \rho, s) \text{ on } (0, 1) \times (0, 1) \times (\tau_1, \tau_2) \\ \Phi(0, t) = \Psi_x(0, t) = \mathbf{w}_x(0, t) = \theta(0, t) = \theta(1, t) = 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \\ \Phi_x(1, t) = \Psi(1, t) = \mathbf{w}(1, t) = 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

*Remark 2.1.* The third equation of (2.3) and the boundary conditions yield

$$\rho_2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_0^1 \Psi(x, t) dx + k \int_0^1 \Psi(x, t) dx = 0$$

which gives

$$\int_0^1 \Psi(x, t) dx = \left( \int_0^1 \Psi_0(x) dx \right) \cos \left( \sqrt{\frac{k}{\rho_2}} t \right) + \left( \int_0^1 \Psi_1(x) dx \right) \sqrt{\frac{\rho_2}{k}} \sin \left( \sqrt{\frac{k}{\rho_2}} t \right).$$

Consequently, if we set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Psi}(x, t) &= \Psi(x, t) - \left( \int_0^1 \Psi_0(x) dx \right) \cos \left( \sqrt{\frac{k}{\rho_2}} t \right) \\ &\quad - \sqrt{\frac{\rho_2}{k}} \left( \int_0^1 \Psi_1(x) dx \right) \sin \left( \sqrt{\frac{k}{\rho_2}} t \right) \end{aligned}$$

$(\Phi, z, \tilde{\Psi}, \mathbf{w}, \theta)$  satisfies (2.3) with initial conditions for given by

$$\tilde{\Psi}(x, 0) = \Psi_0(x) - \int_0^1 \Psi_0(x) dx \text{ and } \tilde{\Psi}_t(x, 0) = \Psi_1(x) - \int_0^1 \Psi_1(x) dx.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\int_0^1 \tilde{\Psi}(x, t) dx = 0,$$

which justifies the application of Poincaré's inequality for  $\tilde{\Psi}$ . In the sequel, we work with  $\tilde{\Psi}$  but we write  $\Psi$  for simplicity.

If we set

$$U = (\Phi, \Phi_t, \Psi, \Psi_t, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_t, \theta, \theta_t, z)^T,$$

then  $U_t = (\Phi_t, \Phi_{tt}, \Psi_t, \Psi_{tt}, \mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_{tt}, \theta_t, \theta_{tt}, z_t)^T$ .

Therefore, problem (2.3)-(2.5) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} AU = U_t, \\ U(0) = (\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \Psi_0, \Psi_1, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_1, \theta_0, \theta_1, f(x, \rho s)), \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

where the operator  $A$  is defined by

$$A \begin{pmatrix} \Phi \\ u \\ \Psi \\ v \\ \mathbf{w} \\ \varpi \\ \theta \\ \vartheta \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k}{\rho_1} (\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)_x + \frac{lk_0}{\rho_1} (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi) - \frac{\mu_1}{\rho_1} u - \frac{1}{\rho_1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z(x, 1, t, s) ds \\ v \\ \frac{b}{\rho_2} \Psi_{xx} - \frac{k}{\rho_2} (\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi) - \frac{1}{\rho_2} \beta \vartheta_x \\ \varpi \\ \frac{k_0}{\rho_1} (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)_x - \frac{kl}{\rho_1} (\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi) \\ \vartheta \\ \frac{\delta}{\rho_3} \theta_{xx} - \frac{\beta}{\rho_3} v_x + \frac{k}{\rho_3} \vartheta_{xx} \\ \left(\frac{-1}{s}\right) z_\rho \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.7}$$

We consider the following spaces

$$\begin{aligned} H_a^1(0, 1) &= \{h \in H^1(0, 1) : h(0) = 0\}, \\ H_b^1(0, 1) &= \{h \in H^1(0, 1) : h(1) = 0\}, \\ H_a^2(0, 1) &= H^2(0, 1) \cap H_a^1(0, 1), \\ H_b^2(0, 1) &= H^2(0, 1) \cap H_b^1(0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= H_a^1(0, 1) \times L^2(0, 1) \times H_b^1(0, 1) \times L^2(0, 1), \\ &\times H_b^1(0, 1) \times L^2(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1) \times L^2(0, 1), \\ &\times L_\omega^2((0, L) \times (0, 1) \times (\tau_1, \tau_2)). \end{aligned}$$

With

$$L_\omega^2((0, L) \times (0, 1) \times (\tau_1, \tau_2)) = \left\{ z \text{ measurable} / \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} s \mu_2(s) z^2(x, \rho, s) ds d\rho dx < \infty \right\}.$$

We will show that  $A$  generates a  $C_0$  semigroup on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let us define on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  the inner product, for

$$\begin{aligned} U &= (\Phi, u, \Psi, v, \mathbf{w}, \varpi, \theta, \vartheta, z)^T, \widehat{U} = (\widehat{\Phi}, \widehat{u}, \widehat{\Psi}, \widehat{v}, \widehat{\mathbf{w}}, \widehat{\varpi}, \widehat{\theta}, \widehat{\vartheta}, \widehat{z})^T \\ \langle U, \widehat{U} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \rho_1 \int_0^1 u \widehat{u} dx + \rho_2 \int_0^1 v \widehat{v} dx + \rho_1 \int_0^1 \varpi \widehat{\varpi} dx + \rho_3 \int_0^1 \vartheta \widehat{\vartheta} dx + b \int_0^1 \Psi_x \widehat{\Psi}_x dx \\ &+ k \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w}) (\widehat{\Phi}_x + \widehat{\Psi} + l\widehat{\mathbf{w}}) dx + k_0 \int_0^1 (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi) (\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_x - l\widehat{\Phi}) dx \\ &+ \delta \int_0^1 \theta_x \widehat{\theta}_x dx + \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} s \mu(s) \int_0^1 z(x, \rho, s) \widehat{z}(x, \rho, s) d\rho ds dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8a}$$

$\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space for  $l$  small enough since, in this case, the above inner product is equivalent to the natural inner product defined on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

The domain of  $A$  is given by

$$D(A) = \left\{ \begin{aligned} U \in \mathcal{H} / \Phi \in H_a^2(0, 1); \Psi, \mathbf{w} \in H_b^2(0, 1), u, \theta \in H_a^1(0, 1); v, \varpi \in H_b^1(0, 1) \\ ; z \in L_\omega^2((0, L) \times (0, 1) \times (\tau_1, \tau_2)), u(x) = (x, 0, s) \text{ in } (0, L) \\ , \Phi_x(1) = 0, \mathbf{w}_x(0) = \Psi_x(0) = 0. \end{aligned} \right\}. \tag{2.9}$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $(\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \Psi_0, \Psi_1, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_1, \theta_0, \theta_1, f_0) \in \mathcal{H}$ . Assume that the hypothesis (1.5) holds. Then, for any initial datum  $U_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  there exists a unique solution  $U \in C([0, \infty), \mathcal{H})$  for problem (2.6). Moreover, if  $U_0 \in D(A)$ , then  $U \in C([0, \infty), D(A)) \cap C^1[0, \infty), \mathcal{H})$ .*

*Proof.* To obtain the above result, we need to prove that  $\mathcal{A} : D(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is a maximal monotone operator. For this purpose, we need the following two steps:  $\mathcal{A}$  is dissipative and  $Id - \mathcal{A}$  is surjective.

**Step 1:** In this step, we prove that the operator  $A$  is dissipative. Let  $U = (\Phi, u, \Psi, v, \mathbf{w}, \varpi, \theta, \vartheta, z)^T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle AU, U \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k}{\rho_1} (\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w})_x + \frac{lk_0}{\rho_1} (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi) - \frac{\mu_1}{\rho_1} u - \frac{1}{\rho_1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z(x, 1, t, s) ds \\ \frac{b}{\rho_2} \Psi_{xx} - \frac{k}{\rho_2} (\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w}) - \frac{1}{\rho_2} \beta \vartheta_x \\ \frac{k_0}{\rho_1} (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)_x - \frac{kl}{\rho_1} (\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w}) \\ \frac{\delta}{\rho_3} \theta_{xx} - \frac{\beta}{\rho_3} v_x + \frac{k}{\rho_3} \vartheta_{xx} \\ \left(\frac{-1}{s}\right) z_\rho \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Phi \\ u \\ \Psi \\ v \\ \mathbf{w} \\ \varpi \\ \theta \\ \vartheta \\ z \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= k \int_0^1 u (\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w}) (\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w})_x dx + lk_0 \int_0^1 (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi) u dx - \mu_1 \int_0^1 u^2 dx \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} u \mu_2(s) z(x, 1, t, s) ds dx \\ &\quad + b \int_0^1 v \Psi_{xx} dx - k \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w}) v dx - \beta \int_0^1 v \vartheta_x dx \\ &\quad + k_0 \int_0^1 \varpi (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)_x dx - kl \int_0^1 \varpi (\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w}) dx \\ &\quad + \delta \int_0^1 \vartheta \theta_{xx} dx - \beta \int_0^1 \vartheta v_x dx + k \int_0^1 \vartheta \vartheta_{xx} dx + b \int_0^1 v_x \Psi_x dx \\ &\quad + k \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w}) (u_x + v + l\varpi) dx + k_0 \int_0^1 (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi) (\varpi_x - lu) dx \\ &\quad + \delta \int_0^1 \theta_x \vartheta_x dx - \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) \int_0^1 z(x, \rho, s) z_\rho(x, \rho, s) d\rho ds dx. \end{aligned}$$

With integration by parts we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle AU, U \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= k \int_0^1 u (\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w})_x dx + lk_0 \int_0^1 (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi) u dx - \mu_1 \int_0^1 u^2 dx \\ &\quad - k \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w}) v dx - \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} u \mu_2(s) z(x, 1, t, s) ds dx \\ &\quad + k_0 \int_0^1 \varpi (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)_x dx - kl \int_0^1 \varpi (\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w}) dx + k \int_0^1 \vartheta \vartheta_{xx} dx \\ &\quad + k \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w}) (u_x + v + l\varpi) dx + k_0 \int_0^1 (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi) (\varpi_x - lu) dx \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) \int_0^1 z(x, \rho, s) z_\rho(x, \rho, s) d\rho ds dx, \end{aligned}$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle AU, U \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= -\mu_1 \int_0^1 u^2 dx - k \int_0^1 \vartheta_x^2 dx \\
 &\quad - \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} u \mu_2(s) z(x, 1, t, s) ds dx \\
 &\quad - \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) \int_0^1 z(x, \rho, s) z_\rho(x, \rho, s) d\rho ds dx, \quad (2.10)
 \end{aligned}$$

and Integrating by parts in  $\rho$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 z_\rho(x, \rho, s) z(x, \rho, s) d\rho &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} z^2(x, \rho, s) d\rho \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} [z^2(x, 1, s) - z^2(x, 0, s)],
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) \int_0^1 z_\rho(x, \rho, s) z(x, \rho, s) d\rho ds dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) [z^2(x, 1, s) - z^2(x, 0, s)] ds dx. \quad (2.11)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (2.10) and (2.11),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle AU, U \rangle &= -\mu_1 \int_0^1 u^2(x) dx - k \int_0^1 \vartheta_x^2 dx \\
 &\quad - \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} u(x) \mu_2(s) z(x, 1, s) ds dx \\
 &\quad - \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) \int_0^1 z_\rho(x, \rho, s) z(x, \rho, s) d\rho ds dx \\
 &= -\mu_1 \int_0^1 u^2(x) dx - k \int_0^1 \vartheta_x^2 dx \\
 &\quad - \int_0^1 u(x) \left( \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z(x, 1, s) ds \right) dx \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z^2(x, 1, s) ds dx \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) \int_0^1 u^2(x) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we can estimate,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_0^1 u(x) \left( \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z(x, 1, s) ds \right) dx \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u^2(x) \left( \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) \right) dx \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z^2(x, 1, s) ds dx
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from the assumption (1.5) we have,

$$\langle AU, U \rangle \leq - \left( \mu_1 - \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) \right) \int_0^1 u^2(x) dx - k \int_0^1 \vartheta_x^2 dx \leq 0 \quad (2.12)$$

that is, the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is dissipative.

**Step 2:** To prove that the operator  $Id - \mathcal{A}$  is surjective, that is, for any let  $G = (g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, g_6, g_7, g_8, g_9) \in \mathcal{H}$ . We seek  $U = (\Phi, u, \Psi, v, \mathbf{w}, \varpi, \theta, \vartheta, z)^T \in D(A)$  satisfying

$$(Id - \mathcal{A})U = G,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \lambda\Phi - u = g_1 \\ \lambda\rho_1 u - k(\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w})_x - lk_0(\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi) + \mu_1 u \\ + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s)z(x, 1, t, s) ds = \rho_1 g_2 \\ \lambda\Psi - v = g_3 \\ \lambda\rho_2 v - b\Psi_{xx} + k(\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w}) + \beta\vartheta_x = \rho_2 g_4 \\ \lambda\mathbf{w} - \varpi = g_5 \\ \lambda\rho_1 \varpi - k_0(\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)_x + kl(\Phi_x + \Psi + l\mathbf{w}) = \rho_1 g_6 \\ \lambda\theta - \vartheta = g_7 \\ \lambda\rho_3 \vartheta - \delta\theta_{xx} + \beta v_x - k\vartheta_{xx} = \rho_3 g_8 \\ \lambda z + s^{-1}z_\rho = g_9. \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

Suppose that we have found  $\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{w}$  and  $\theta$ . Therefore, the first, the third and the fifth equation in (2.13) give

$$\begin{cases} u = \lambda\Phi - g_1 \\ v = \lambda\Psi - g_3 \\ \varpi = \lambda\mathbf{w} - g_5 \\ \vartheta = \lambda\theta - g_7, \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

It is clear that  $u \in H_0^1(0, 1), v \in H_0^1(0, 1), \varpi \in H_0^1(0, 1)$  and  $\vartheta \in H_0^1(0, 1)$ . And we can find,

$$z(x, 0, s) = u(x), \text{ for } x \in (0, L), s \in (\tau_1, \tau_2). \quad (2.15)$$

Following the same approach as in [15], we obtain, by using equations for  $z$  in (2.14)

$$\lambda z(x, \rho, s) + s^{-1}z_\rho(x, \rho, s) = f_9(x, \rho, s), \text{ for } x \in (0, L), s \in (\tau_1, \tau_2). \quad (2.16)$$

Then by (2.14) and (2.15)

$$z(x, \rho, s) = e^{-\lambda\rho s} u(x) + se^{-\lambda\rho s} \int_0^\rho f_9(x, \sigma, s) e^{\lambda\sigma s} d\sigma.$$

So, from (2.13) on  $(0, L) \times (0, 1) \times (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,

$$z(x, \rho, s) = \lambda\Phi(x)e^{-\lambda\rho s} - f_1(x)e^{-\lambda\rho s} + se^{-\lambda\rho s} \int_0^\rho f_9(x, \sigma, s) e^{\lambda\sigma s} d\sigma. \quad (2.17)$$

By using (1.5) and (2.13) the functions  $\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{w}$  and  $\theta$  satisfying the following system,

$$\begin{cases} \lambda^2 \rho_1 \Phi - k(\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)_x - lk_0(\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi) + \mu_1 u_t \\ + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s)z(x, 1, t, s) ds = \rho_1(\lambda g_1 + g_2) \\ \lambda^2 \rho_2 \Psi - b\Psi_{xx} + k(\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi) + \beta \vartheta_x = \rho_2(\lambda g_3 + g_{\lambda 4}) \\ \lambda^2 \rho_1 \mathbf{w} - k_0(\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)_x + kl(\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi) = \rho_1(\lambda g_5 + g_6) \\ \lambda^2 \rho_3 \theta - \delta \theta_{xx} + \beta v_x - k \vartheta_{xx} = \rho_3(\lambda g_7 + g_8), \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

Solving system (2.18) is equivalent to finding,

$$(\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{w}, \theta) \in H_a^2(0, 1) \times H_b^2(0, 1) \times H_b^2(0, 1) \times H_a^2(0, 1),$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^1 [\lambda^2 \rho_1 \Phi \eta - k(\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi) \eta_x - lk_0(\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi) \eta + \mu_1 u \eta \\ + \eta \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s)z(x, 1, t, s) ds] dx = \int_0^1 \rho_1 \eta (\lambda g_1 + g_2) dx \\ \int_0^1 [\lambda^2 \rho_2 \Psi \zeta - b\Psi_x \zeta_x + k(\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi) \zeta + \beta \zeta \vartheta_x] dx = \int_0^1 \rho_2 \zeta (\lambda g_3 + g_{\lambda 4}) dx \\ \int_0^1 [\lambda^2 \rho_1 \mathbf{w} \xi - k_0(\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi) \xi_x + kl(\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi) \xi] dx = \int_0^1 \rho_1 \xi (\lambda g_5 + g_6) dx \\ \int_0^1 [\lambda^2 \rho_3 \theta \chi - \delta \theta_x \chi_x + \beta \chi v_x - k \vartheta_x \chi_x] dx = \int_0^1 \rho_3 \chi (\lambda g_7 + g_8) dx, \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

for all  $(\eta, \zeta, \xi, \chi) \in H_0^1(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1)$ . From (2.17) we have,

$$z(x, 1, s) = \lambda \Phi(x) e^{-\lambda s} - f_1(x) e^{-\lambda s} + s e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^1 f_9(x, \sigma, s) e^{\lambda \sigma s} d\sigma.$$

Consequently, problem (2.19) is equivalent to the problem

$$a((\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{w}, \theta), (\eta, \zeta, \xi, \chi)) = L(\eta, \zeta, \xi, \chi), \quad (2.20)$$

where the bilinear form

$$a : [H_0^1(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1)]^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

and the linear form

$$L : H_0^1(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & a((\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{w}, \theta), (\eta, \zeta, \xi, \chi)) \\ &= \int_0^1 [\lambda^2 (\rho_1 \Phi \eta + \rho_2 \Psi \zeta + \rho_1 \mathbf{w} \xi + \rho_3 \theta \chi) + \mu_1 u \eta - b\Psi_x \zeta_x \\ &+ k(\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)(\eta_x + l\xi + \zeta) - k_0(\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)(\xi_x - l\eta) \\ &+ \beta(\zeta \vartheta_x + \chi v_x) - (\delta \theta_x + k \vartheta_x) \chi_x + \eta \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) \lambda \phi(x) e^{-\lambda s} ds dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$L(\eta, \zeta, \xi, \chi) = \int_0^1 [\rho_1 \eta (\lambda g_1 + g_2) + \rho_2 \zeta (\lambda g_3 + g_{\lambda 4}) + \rho_1 \xi (\lambda g_5 + g_6) + \rho_3 \chi (\lambda g_7 + g_8) + \left( -f_1(x) e^{-\lambda s} + s e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^1 f_9(x, \sigma, s) e^{\lambda \sigma s} d\sigma \right)] dx.$$

It is easy to verify that  $a$  is continuous and coercive, and  $L$  is continuous. So applying the Lax-Milgram theorem, we deduce that for all  $(\eta, \zeta, \xi, \chi) \in H_0^1(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1)$  problem (2.20) admits a unique solution  $(\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{w}, \theta) \in H_0^1(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1) \times H_0^1(0, 1)$ . Applying the classical elliptic regularity, it follows from (2.19) that  $(\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{w}, \theta) \in H_a^2(0, 1) \times H_b^2(0, 1) \times H_b^2(0, 1) \times H_a^1(0, 1)$ . Therefore, the operator  $\lambda I - A$  is surjective for any  $\lambda > 0$ . Consequently, the existence result of theorem 2.2 follows from the Hille-Yosida theorem.  $\square$

### 3. STABILITY RESULTS

To state our decay result to the system (2.3)–(2.5), we introduce the energy functional

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [\rho_1 \Phi_t^2 + \rho_2 \psi_t^2 + \rho_1 \mathbf{w}_t^2 + b \psi_x^2 + \rho_3 \theta_t^2 + \delta \theta_x^2 + k (\Phi_x + \psi + l \mathbf{w})^2 + k_0 (\mathbf{w}_x - l \Phi)^2] dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} s \mu_2(s) z^2(x, \rho, s, t) ds d\rho dx. \quad (3.1)$$

We can prove that the energy is decreasing. More precisely, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{w}, \theta, z)$  be the solution of (2.3)–(2.5). Then there exist two positive constants  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  such that*

$$E(t) \leq \alpha E(0) e^{-\gamma t}, t \geq 0 \quad (3.2)$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{w}, \theta, z)$  be the solution of (2.3)–(2.5) and assume (1.5) holds. Then the energy functional, defined by (3.1) satisfies,*

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) \leq -r_0 \int_0^1 \Phi_t^2 dx - k \int_0^1 \theta_{tx}^2 dx, \quad (3.3)$$

with

$$r_0 = \mu_1 - \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) ds.$$

*Proof.* Multiplying (2.3)<sub>1</sub>, (2.3)<sub>2</sub>, (2.3)<sub>3</sub>, and (2.3)<sub>4</sub> by  $\Phi_t$ ,  $\Psi_t$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_t$  and  $\theta_t$ , respectively, and integrating over  $(0, 1)$ , using integration by parts and the boundary conditions, and adding the results, we obtain

$$(3.3). \quad \square$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $(\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{w}, \theta, z)$  be the solution of (2.3)–(2.5). Then the functional*

$$F_1(t) := \rho_2 \int_0^1 \Psi \Psi_t dx \tag{3.4}$$

*satisfies, for  $\ell_1 > 0$  and  $\ell_2 > 0$ , the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} F_1'(t) \leq & \rho_2 \int_0^1 \Psi_t^2 dx + \left(-b + \frac{\beta}{2\ell_1} + kd\ell_2\right) \int_0^1 \Psi_x^2 dx \\ & + \frac{k}{2\ell_2} \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)^2 dx + \beta\ell_1 \int_0^1 \theta_t^2 dx \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

*Proof.* Taking the derivative of (3.4), using the third equation in (2.3) and performing integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_1'(t) &= \rho_2 \int_0^1 (\Psi \Psi_{tt} + \Psi_t^2) dx \\ &= \rho_2 \int_0^1 \Psi_t^2 dx + \int_0^1 \Psi (b\Psi_{xx} - k(\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi) - \beta\theta_{tx}) dx \\ &= \rho_2 \int_0^1 \Psi_t^2 dx - b \int_0^1 \Psi_x^2 dx - k \int_0^1 \Psi (\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi) dx - \beta \int_0^1 \Psi \theta_{tx} dx \end{aligned}$$

Using Young’s and Poincaré’s inequalities, for estimate (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} F_1'(t) \leq & \rho_2 \int_0^1 \Psi_t^2 dx + \left(-b + \frac{\beta}{2\ell_1} + kd\ell_2\right) \int_0^1 \Psi_x^2 dx \\ & + \frac{k}{2\ell_2} \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)^2 dx + \beta\ell_1 \int_0^1 \theta_t^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $(\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{w}, \theta, z)$  be the solution of (2.3)–(2.5). Then the functional*

$$F_2(t) := \rho_1 \int_0^1 \Phi_t \left( \Phi + \int_0^x \Psi(y, t) dy \right) dx, \tag{3.7}$$

*satisfies, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} F_2'(t) \leq & -\frac{k}{2} \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)^2 dx - \frac{lk_0}{2} \int_0^1 (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)^2 dx \\ & + c \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_0^1 \Phi_t^2 dx + \varepsilon \int_0^1 \Psi_t^2 dx \\ & + c \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z^2(x, 1, s, t) ds dx \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

*Proof.* Taking the derivative of (3.7), and using that,

$$z(x, \rho, s, 0) = f_0(x, \rho, s) \text{ in } (0, 1) \times (0, 1) \times (0, \tau_2)$$

and integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_2'(t) &= \rho_1 \int_0^1 \Phi_t \int_0^x \Psi_y(y) dy dx - \int_0^1 \left( \Phi + \int_0^x \Psi(y) dy \right) \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z(x, 1, s, t) ds dx \\ &\quad - k \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)^2 dx + \rho_1 \int_0^1 \Phi_t^2 dx - lk_0 \int_0^1 (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)^2 dx \\ &\quad - \mu_1 \int_0^1 \Phi_t \left( \Phi + \int_0^x \Psi(y) dy \right) dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Using Young's, Poincaré's, and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities, for estimate the terms in the right hand side of (3.9)

$$\rho_1 \int_0^1 \Phi_t \int_0^x \Psi_y(y) dy dx \leq \varepsilon \int_0^1 \Psi_t^2 dx + \frac{c}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \Phi_t^2 dx$$

□

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $(\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{w}, \theta, z)$  be the solution of (2.3)–(2.5). Then the functional*

$$F_3(t) = -\rho_2 \rho_3 \int_0^1 \theta_t \left( \int_0^x \Psi_t(y, t) dy \right) dx - \delta \rho_2 \int_0^1 \theta_x \Psi dx \quad (3.10)$$

satisfies, for any  $\varepsilon_1 > 0, \varepsilon_2 > 0, \varepsilon_3 > 0, \varepsilon_4 > 0$ ; the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} F_3'(t) &\leq -\rho_2 \left( \varsigma_1 - \frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon_1} - \frac{k}{2\varepsilon_2} \right) \int_0^1 \Psi_t^2 dx - \rho_3 (\beta - b\varepsilon_3 - k\varepsilon_4) \int_0^1 \theta_t^2 dx \\ &\quad + \rho_2 \delta \varepsilon_1 \int_0^1 \theta_x^2 dx + \rho_2 k \varepsilon_2 \int_0^1 \theta_{tx}^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\rho_3 b}{2\varepsilon_3} \int_0^1 \Psi_x^2 dx + \frac{\rho_3 C}{2\varepsilon_4} \int_0^1 \Phi_x^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $(\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{w}, \theta, z)$  be the solution of (2.3)–(2.5). Then the functional*

$$F_4(t) = \rho_3 \int_0^1 \theta \theta_t dx + \frac{k}{2} \int_0^1 \theta_x^2 dx + \beta \int_0^1 \Psi_x \theta dx \quad (3.12)$$

satisfies, for any  $\varepsilon_5 > 0$ ; the estimate

$$F_4'(t) \leq \left( \rho_3 + \frac{\beta}{2\varepsilon_5} \right) \int_0^1 \theta_t^2 dx + \beta \varepsilon_5 \int_0^1 \Psi_x^2 dx - \delta \int_0^1 \theta_x^2 dx \quad (3.13)$$

*Proof.* By differentiating (3.12) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} F_4'(t) &= \rho_3 \int_0^1 \theta_t^2 dx + \rho_3 \int_0^1 \theta \theta_{tt} dx + k \rho_3 \int_0^1 \theta_{tx} \theta_x dx \\ &\quad + \beta \int_0^1 \Psi_{tx} \theta dx + \beta \int_0^1 \Psi_x \theta_t dx \end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality, and integration by parts for obtain (3.13). □

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $(\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{w}, \theta, z)$  be the solution of (2.3)–(2.5). Then the functional*

$$F_5(t) := \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} s e^{-s\rho} \mu_2(s) z^2(x, \rho, s, t) ds d\rho dx \quad (3.14)$$

satisfies the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} F_5'(t) &\leq -e^{-\tau_2} \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z^2(x, 1, s, t) ds dx \\ &\quad - e^{-\tau_2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} s \mu_2(s) z^2(x, \rho, s, t) ds d\rho dx + \mu_1 \int_0^1 \int \Phi_t^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

*Proof.* Differentiating  $F_5(t)$ , we obtain,

$$F_5'(t) = 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} s e^{-s\rho} \mu_2(s) z(x, \rho, s, t) z_t(x, \rho, s, t) ds d\rho dx$$

Using the second equation (2.2), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} F_5'(t) &= -2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} e^{-s\rho} \mu_2(s) z(x, \rho, s, t) z_\rho(x, \rho, s, t) ds d\rho dx \\ &= - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} e^{-s\rho} \mu_2(s) \frac{d}{d\rho} z^2(x, \rho, s, t) ds d\rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts gives,

$$\begin{aligned} F_5'(t) &= - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\rho} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) (e^{-s\rho} z^2(x, \rho, s, t)) ds d\rho dx \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} s \mu_2(s) e^{-s\rho} z^2(x, \rho, s, t) ds d\rho dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) (z^2(x, 0, s, t) - e^{-s\rho} z^2(x, 1, s, t)) ds d\rho dx \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} s \mu_2(s) e^{-s\rho} z^2(x, \rho, s, t) ds d\rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F_5'(t) &\leq -e^{-\tau_2} \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z^2(x, 1, s, t) ds dx + \left( \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) ds \right) \int_0^1 \Phi_t^2 dx \\ &\quad - e^{-\tau_2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} s \mu_2(s) z^2(x, \rho, s, t) ds d\rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

We, then, obtain (3.15) by virtue of (1.5). □

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $(\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{W}, \theta, z)$  be the solution of (2.3)–(2.5). Then the functional,*

$$F_6(t) := -\rho_1 \int_0^1 \Phi_t(\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi) dx - \rho_1 \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_t(\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi) dx \quad (3.16)$$

satisfies the estimate for any  $\varsigma_2 > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F'_6(t) &\leq -\left(lk_0 - \frac{m_0}{2}\right) \int_0^1 (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)^2 dx - l\rho_1 \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_t^2 dx + l\rho_1 \int_0^1 \Phi_t^2 dx \\ &\quad + lk \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + \mathbf{w}l + \Psi)^2 dx + \varsigma_2 \int_0^1 \Psi_t^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z^2(x, 1, s, t) ds dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

with  $m_0 = \left(\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) ds\right)$ .

*Proof.* By differentiating (3.16) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} F'_6(t) &\leq -lk_0 \int_0^1 (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)^2 dx - l\rho_1 \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_t^2 dx + l\rho_1 \int_0^1 \Phi_t^2 dx \\ &\quad + lk \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + \mathbf{w}l + \Psi) dx + \varsigma_2 \int_0^1 \Psi_t^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s)\right) (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate (2.3) follows thanks to Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $(\Phi, \Psi, \mathbf{w}, \theta, z)$  be the solution of (2.3)–(2.5). Then the functional*

$$F_7(t) = -\rho_1 \int_0^1 (\Phi\Phi_t + \mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}_t) dx \quad (3.18)$$

satisfies the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} F'_7(t) &\leq -\rho_1 \int_0^1 \Phi_t^2 dx - \rho_1 \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_t^2 dx + c_1 \int_0^1 \Psi_x^2 + k_0 \int_0^1 (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)^2 dx \\ &\quad + c_2 \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z^2(x, 1, s, t) ds dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

with  $m_0 = \left(\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) ds\right)$ .

*Proof.* By differentiating (3.18) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} F_7(t) &= -\int_0^1 \Phi \left( k(\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)_x + lk_0(\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi) - \mu_0\Phi_t - \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu(s)z(x, 1, t, s) ds \right) dx \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 \Phi_t^2 dx - \int_0^1 \mathbf{w} \left( k_0(\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)_x - kl(\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi) \right) dx - \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_t^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Young's, Poincaré's, and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities, for estimate the terms in the right hand side of (3.19).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.10.** *We have*

$$c_1 E(t) \leq \mathcal{L}(t) \leq c_2 E(t), \forall t \geq 0$$

for two positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ .

*Proof.* For  $N, N_i > 0$ , let

$$\mathcal{L}(t) := NE(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{i=7} N_i F_i(t) \tag{3.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}'(t) \leq & N \left( -r_0 \int_0^1 \Phi_t^2 dx - k \int_0^1 \theta_{tx}^2 dx \right) + N_1 \left( -b + \frac{\beta}{2\ell_1} + kdl_2 \right) \int_0^1 \Psi_x^2 dx \\ & + N_1 \left[ \rho_2 \int_0^1 \Psi_t^2 dx + \beta\ell_1 \int_0^1 \theta_t^2 dx + \frac{k}{2\ell_2} \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)^2 dx \right] \\ & + N_2 \left( -\frac{k}{2} \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)^2 dx - \frac{lk_0}{2} \int_0^1 (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)^2 dx \right) \\ & + N_2 \left( c \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \int_0^1 \Phi_t^2 dx + \varepsilon \int_0^1 \Psi_t^2 dx + c \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z^2(x, 1, s, t) ds dx \right) \\ & + N_3 \left( -\rho_2 \left( \varsigma_1 - \frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon_1} - \frac{k}{2\varepsilon_2} \right) \int_0^1 \Psi_t^2 dx - \rho_3 (\beta - b\varepsilon_3 - k\varepsilon_4) \int_0^1 \theta_t^2 dx \right) \\ & + N_3 \left( \rho_2 \delta \varepsilon_1 \int_0^1 \theta_x^2 dx + \rho_2 k \varepsilon_2 \int_0^1 \theta_{tx}^2 dx + \frac{\rho_3 b}{2\varepsilon_3} \int_0^1 \Psi_x^2 dx + \frac{\rho_3 C}{2\varepsilon_4} \int_0^1 \Phi_x^2 dx \right) \\ & + N_4 \left( \left( \rho_3 + \frac{\beta}{2\varepsilon_5} \right) \int_0^1 \theta_t^2 dx + \beta\varepsilon_5 \int_0^1 \Psi_x^2 dx - \delta \int_0^1 \theta_x^2 dx \right) \\ & + N_5 \left( -e^{-\tau_2} \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z^2(x, 1, s, t) ds dx \right) \\ & + N_5 \left( -e^{-\tau_2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} s \mu_2(s) z^2(x, \rho, s, t) ds d\rho dx + \mu_1 \int_0^1 \Phi_t^2 dx \right) \\ & + N_6 \left( - \left( lk_0 - \frac{m_0}{2} \right) \int_0^1 (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)^2 dx - l\rho_1 \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_t^2 dx + l\rho_1 \int_0^1 \Phi_t^2 dx \right) \\ & + N_6 \left( +lk \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)^2 dx + \varsigma_2 \int_0^1 \Psi_t^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z^2(x, 1, s, t) ds dx \right) \\ & + N_7 \left( -\rho_1 \int_0^1 \Phi_t^2 dx - \rho_1 \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_t^2 dx + c_1 \int_0^1 \Psi_x^2 dx + k_0 \int_0^1 (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)^2 dx \right) \\ & + N_7 \left( c_2 \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z^2(x, 1, s, t) ds dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}'(t) \leq & \left[ -r_0 N + c \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) N_2 + \frac{\rho_3 C}{2\varepsilon_4} N_3 + \mu_1 N_5 + l\rho_1 N_6 - \rho_1 N_7 \right] \int_0^1 \Phi_t^2 dx \\ & + \left[ \rho_2 N_1 + \varepsilon N_2 - \rho_2 \left( \varsigma_1 - \frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon_1} - \frac{k}{2\varepsilon_2} \right) N_3 + \varsigma_2 N_6 \right] \int_0^1 \Psi_t^2 dx \\ & + \left[ \left( -b + \frac{\beta}{2\ell_1} + kdl_2 \right) N_1 + \frac{\rho_3 b}{2\varepsilon_3} N_3 + \beta\varepsilon_5 N_4 + c_1 N_7 \right] \int_0^1 \Psi_x^2 dx \\ & + \left[ \frac{kl}{2\varepsilon} N_1 - l\rho_1 N_6 - \rho_1 N_7 \right] \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_t^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left[ \beta l_1 N_1 - \rho_3 (\beta - b\varepsilon_3 - k\varepsilon_4) N_3 + \left( \rho_3 + \frac{\beta}{2\varepsilon_5} \right) N_4 \right] \int_0^1 \theta_t^2 dx \\
& + [-\delta N_4 + \rho_2 \delta \varepsilon_1 N_3] \int_0^1 \theta_x^2 dx \\
& + [-kN + \rho_2 k \varepsilon_2 N_3] \int_0^1 \theta_{tx}^2 dx \\
& + \left[ \frac{k}{2\ell_2} N_1 - \frac{k}{2} N_2 + lkN_6 + c_2 N_7 \right] \int_0^1 (\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)^2 dx \\
& + \left[ -\frac{lk_0}{2} N_2 + k_0 N_7 - \left( lk_0 - \frac{m_0}{2} \right) N_6 \right] \int_0^1 (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)^2 dx \\
& + \left[ -e^{-\tau_2} N_5 + cN_2 + \frac{N_6}{2} + \frac{N_7}{2} \right] \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mu_2(s) z^2(x, 1, s, t) ds dx \\
& + [-e^{-\tau_2} N_5] \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} s \mu_2(s) z^2(x, \rho, s, t) ds d\rho dx.
\end{aligned}$$

At this point we choose  $\varepsilon_i$   $i = 1, \dots, 5$  small enough, and  $N_7$  large enough so that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max \left\{ \frac{k}{2\ell_2} N_1 - \frac{k}{2} N_2 + lkN_6 + c_2 N_7, -\frac{lk_0}{2} N_2 + k_0 N_7 \right. \\
& \left. - \left( lk_0 - \frac{m_0}{2} \right) N_6, -e^{-\tau_2} N_5 + cN_2 + \frac{N_6}{2} + \frac{N_7}{2} \right\} < 0, \quad (3.21) \\
& -r_0 N + c \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) N_2 + \frac{\rho_3 c}{2\varepsilon_4} N_3 + \mu_1 N_5 + l\rho_1 N_6 - \rho_1 N_7 < 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Once  $N_7$  is fixed, we then choose  $N_1$  large enough such that

$$\left( -b + \frac{\beta}{2\ell_1} + kd\ell_2 \right) N_1 + \frac{\rho_3 b}{2\varepsilon_3} N_3 + \beta\varepsilon_5 N_4 + c_1 N_7 < 0,$$

we choose  $N_3, N_4, N_6$  large enough such that

$$\left( -b + \frac{\beta}{2\ell_1} + kd\ell_2 \right) N_1 + \frac{\rho_3 b}{2\varepsilon_3} N_3 + \beta\varepsilon_5 N_4 + c_1 N_7 < 0,$$

$$\frac{kl}{2\varepsilon} N_1 - l\rho_1 N_6 - \rho_1 N_7 < 0,$$

$$-e^{-\tau_2} N_5 + cN_2 + \frac{N_6}{2} + \frac{N_7}{2} < 0,$$

$$\max \{ -\delta N_4 + \rho_2 \delta \varepsilon_1 N_3, -kN + \rho_2 k \varepsilon_2 N_3 \} < 0,$$

finally, we choose  $N$  large enough such that,

$$-r_0 N + c \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) N_2 + \frac{\rho_3 c}{2\varepsilon_4} N_3 + \mu_1 N_5 + l\rho_1 N_6 - \rho_1 N_7 < 0.$$

Exploiting Young's, Poincaré's and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities, and the fact that  $e^{-s\rho} \leq 1$  for all  $\rho \in [0, 1]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}(t) &\leq c \int_0^1 \left[ \Phi_t^2 + \Psi_t^2 + \Psi_x^2 + \mathbf{w}_t^2 + \theta_t^2 + \theta_x^2 + \theta_{tx}^2, (\Phi_x + l\mathbf{w} + \Psi)^2 + (\mathbf{w}_x - l\Phi)^2 \right] dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} s|\mu(s)|z^2(x, \rho, s, t) ds d\rho dx \\ &\leq cE(t). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $|\mathcal{L}(t) - NE(t)| \leq cE(t)$  which yields

$$(N - c)E(t) \leq \mathfrak{L}(t) \leq (N + c)E(t), \quad (3.22)$$

choosing  $N$  such that  $N - c > 0$

$$\mathcal{L}'(t) \leq -\alpha_0 E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

for some  $\alpha_0 > 0$ . A combination of lemma(3.10) gives

$$\mathcal{L}'(t) \leq -k_1 \mathcal{L}(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (3.23)$$

where  $k_1 = \frac{\alpha_0}{c_2}$ .

Finally, a simple integration of (3.23) we obtain (3.2), which complete the proof.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wish to thank deeply the anonymous referee for useful remarks and careful reading of the proofs presented in this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Alabau-Boussouira, J. E. Muñoz Rivera, D. S. Almeida Junior, Stability to Weak Dissipative Bresse System, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **374**, (2011), 481–498.
2. T. A. Appalara, Well-posedness and Exponential Stability for a Linear Damped Timoshenko System with Second Sound and Internal Distributed Delay, *Journal of Differential*, **254**, (2014), 1–15.
3. A. Benaissa, M. Miloudi, M. Mokhtari, Global Existence and Energy Decay of Solutions to a Bresse System with Delay Terms, *Math. Univ. Carolin.*, **56**(2), (2015), 169–186.
4. L. Bouzettouta, S. Zitouni, Kh. Zennir, A. Guessmia, Stability of Bresse System with Internal Distributed Delay, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, **7**(1), (2017), 92–118.
5. L. Bouzettouta, S. Zitouni, Kh. Zennir, H. Sissaoui, Well-posedness and Decay of Solutions to Bresse System with Internal Distributed Delay, *Int. J. Appl. Math. Stat.*, **56**(4), (2017), 153–168
6. J. A. C. Bresse, *Cours de Mécanique Appliquée*, Mallet Bachelier, Paris, 1859.
7. A. Farih, S. A. Messaoudi, Stabilization of a Type III Thermoelastic Timoshenko System in the Presence of a Time-distributed Delay, *Math. Nachr.*, (2016), 1–16.
8. A. A. Keddi, A. T. Apalara, A. S. Messaoudi, *Exponential and Polynomial Decay in a Thermoelastic-Bresse System with Second Sound*, Appl Math Optim, Springer- New York, 2016.

9. H. E. Khochemane, L. Bouzettouta, A. Guerouah, Exponential Decay and Well-posedness for a One-dimensional Porous-elastic System with Distributed Delay, *Applicable Analysis*, DOI: 10.1080/00036811.2019.1703958.
10. H. E. Khochemane, A. Djebabla, S. Zitouni, L. Bouzettouta, Well-posedness and General Decay of a Nonlinear Damping Porous-elastic System with Infinite Memory, *J. Math. Phys.*, **61**, 021505 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5131031>.
11. Z. Liu, B. Rao, Energy Decay Rate of the Thermoelastic Bresse System, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **60**, (2009), 54–69.
12. S. A. Messaoudi, M. Pokojovy, B. Said-Houari, Nonlinear Damped Timoshenko Systems with Second Sound: Global Existence and Exponential Stability, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **32**, (2009), 505–534 .
13. M. I. Mustafa, M. Kafini, Exponential Decay in Thermoelastic Systems with Internal Distributed Delay, *Palestine J. Math.*, **2**(2), (2013), 287-299.
14. M. I. Mustafa, A Uniform Stability Result for Thermoelasticity of Type III with Boundary Distributed Delay, *J. Abstr. Diff. Equa. Appl.*, **2**(1), (2014), 1–13.
15. S. Nicaise, C. Pignotti, Stability and Instability Results of the Wave Equation with a Delay Term in the Boundary or Internal Feedbacks, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **45**(5), (2006), 1561–1585.
16. A. S. Nicaise, C. Pignotti, Stabilization of the Wave Equation with Boundary or Internal Distributed Delay, *Diff. Int. Equs.*, **21**(9-10), (2008), 935–958.
17. A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Applied Math. Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York, 44, 1983.