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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, while $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ denote the algebras of all linear bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$, respectively. We characterize the forms of additive mappings from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ into $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ that preserve the nonzero idempotency of either Jordan products of operators or usual products of operators in both directions.
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## 1. Introduction

The study of maps on operator algebras preserving certain properties or subsets is a topic which attracts much attention of many authors. See the references.

Some problems are concerned with preserving a certain property of usual product or other products of operators. For example see $[4,6-10,13,15,16]$.

Let $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$ be two rings and $\phi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\prime}$ be a map. Denote by $P_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{R}^{\prime}}$ the set of all idempotent elements of $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$, respectively. The triple Jordan product and the Jordan product of two elements $A$ and $B$ are defined as

[^0]$A B A$ and $\frac{1}{2}(A B+B A)$, respectively. We say that $\phi$ preserves the idempotency of product of two elements, the idempotency of triple Jordan product of two elements and the idempotency of Jordan product of two elements, whenever we have
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
A B \in P_{\mathcal{R}} \Rightarrow \phi(A) \phi(B) \in P_{\mathcal{R}^{\prime}} \\
A B A \in P_{\mathcal{R}} \Rightarrow \phi(A) \phi(B) \phi(A) \in P_{\mathcal{R}^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

and

$$
\frac{1}{2}(A B+B A) \in P_{\mathcal{R}} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(\phi(A) \phi(B)+\phi(B) \phi(A)) \in P_{\mathcal{R}^{\prime}}
$$

respectively. Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, while $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ denote the algebras of all linear bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$, respectively. In [8], authors characterized some forms of unital surjective maps on $B(X)$ preserving the nonzero idempotency of product of operators in both directions. Also in [15], authors characterized some forms of linear surjective maps on $B(X)$ preserving the nonzero idempotency of either products of operators or triple Jordan products of operators.

In this paper, we determine a form of additive mapping $\phi: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ such that the range of $\phi$ contains all minimal idempotents and $I$ and also $\phi$ preserves the nonzero idempotency of Jordan products of operators in both directions. Moreover, we determine a form of surjective additive mapping $\phi$ : $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ that preserves the nonzero idempotency of usual products of operators in both directions. Our main result are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be two infinite dimensional real or complex Hilbert spaces and $\phi: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ be an additive map such that the range of $\phi$ contains all minimal idempotents and I. If $\phi$ preserves the nonzero idempotency of Jordan products of operators in both directions, then $\phi$ either annihilates minimal idempotents or there exists a bounded linear or conjugate linear bijection $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that $\phi(T)=\xi A T A^{-1}$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ or $\phi(T)=\xi A T^{t} A^{-1}$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where $\xi= \pm 1$ (in the case that $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are real, $A$ is linear).

Theorem 1.2. Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be two infinite dimensional complex Hilbert spaces and $\phi: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ be a surjective additive map. If $\phi$ preserves the nonzero idempotency of products of operators in both directions, then there exists a bounded linear or conjugate linear bijection $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that $\phi(T)=$ $\xi A T A^{-1}$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ or $\phi(T)=\xi A T^{t} A^{-1}$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where $\xi= \pm 1$.

## 2. Proofs

In this section we prove our results. First we recall some notations. Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces. Recall that a standard operator algebra on $X$ is a norm closed subalgebra of $B(X)$ which contains the identity and all finite rank
operators. Denote the set of all idempotent operators of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H})$ and the Jordan product of $A, B$ by $A \circ B=\frac{1}{2}(A B+B A)$. Also denote the dual space $X$ by $X^{*}$.

For every nonzero $x \in X$ and $f \in X^{*}$, the symbol $x \otimes f$ stands for the rank one linear operator on $X$ defined by

$$
(x \otimes f) y=f(y) x . \quad(y \in X)
$$

If $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, then $x \otimes y$ stands for the rank one linear operator on $\mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$
(x \otimes y) z=<z, y>x \quad(z \in \mathcal{H})
$$

where $<z, y>$ denotes the inner product of $z$ and $y$. We need some lemmas to prove our main result. Throughout this paper, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(X)$ and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq B(Y)$ are standard operator algebras.

The proof of the following lemma is similar to that of Lemma 2.2 in [15].
Lemma 2.1. [15] Let $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an additive map such that preserves the nonzero idempotency of Jordan products of operators. If $N \in \mathcal{A}$ is a finite rank operator such that $N^{2}=0$, then $\phi(N)^{4}=0$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an additive map. Then the following statements hold.
(i) If $\phi$ preserves the nonzero idempotency of Jordan products of operators, then $\phi$ is injective.
(ii) If $I \in \operatorname{rng} \phi$ and $\phi$ preserves the nonzero idempotency of Jordan products of operators in both directions, then $\phi(I)=I$ or $\phi(I)=-I$.

Proof. (i) Assume $\phi(A)=0$. We assert that $A$ satisfies a quadratic polynomial equation. Otherwise, by the discussion in [11], there exists an $x \in X$ such that $x, A x$ and $A^{2} x$ are linear independent. Then there is a linear functional $f$ such that $f(x)=f\left(A^{2} x\right)=0$ and $f(A x)=2$, because $\operatorname{dim} X \geq 3$. Setting $B=x \otimes f$, we have $A \circ B \in P_{\mathcal{A}} \backslash\{0\}$, implying that

$$
\phi(A) \circ \phi(B) \in P_{\mathcal{B}} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

This is a contradiction, because $\phi(A) \circ \phi(B)=0$. So by the discussion in [11], $A$ satisfies a quadratic polynomial equation.

Assume on the contrary that $A$ is a nonzero operator. For any $B \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$
\phi(A) \circ \phi(B)=0
$$

However, there exists $B=x \otimes f$ such that $A \circ B=\frac{1}{2} A x \otimes f+\frac{1}{2} x \otimes f A$ is a nonzero idempotent, a contradiction. We construct such $B$.

By the above assertion, $A$ satisfies a quadratic polynomial equation. The spectrum of such $A$ consists only of eigenvalues. If $A^{2} \neq 0$, then $A$ has a nonzero eigenvalue $\lambda$, because in this case there exist $r, s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $r s \neq 0$ and $\lambda$ satisfies a quadratic polynomial equation $\alpha^{2}=r \alpha+s$. Since $r s \neq 0$,
$\alpha^{2}=r \alpha+s$ has a nonzero root. Let $x$ be its eigenvector. Choose a bounded functional $f$ with $f(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda}$ to form $B=x \otimes f$ with the desired properties.

The remaining case is $A^{2}=0$. Since $A$ is nonzero, we can find a vector $x$ so that $A x \neq 0$ and a functional $f$ with $f(x)=0$ and $f(A x)=1$ to form $B=x \otimes f$ with the desired properties. The proof is complete.
(ii) Since $I \in \operatorname{rng} \phi$, there exists a nonzero operator $U \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\phi(U)=$ $I$. We show that $U=I$ or $-I$. We have $\phi(U) \circ \phi(U) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}} \backslash\{0\}$. Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{2}=U \circ U \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}} \backslash\{0\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that for any $x \in X, x, U x$ and $U^{2} x$ are linear dependent. Thus $U$ satisfies a quadratic polynomial equation, by the discussion in [10]. This together with (1) yields that there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{2}=a U+b I \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1) and (2), we obtain the answers $(0,1),(1,0)$ and $(-1,0)$ for $(a, b)$ which imply that $U^{2}=I, U^{2}=U$ and $U^{2}=-U$.

Let $U^{2}=U$. We assert that $U=I$. Assume on the contrary that $U \neq I$. From $U \circ I \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}} \backslash\{0\}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(I)=I \circ \phi(I) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}} \backslash\{0\} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, there exists an idempotent operator $T$ such that $U-T$ is not idempotent. In fact, $U+S-I$ isn't idempotent, where $S=I-T$. Thus

$$
(U+S-I) \circ I=U+S-I \notin \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}} \backslash\{0\}
$$

which implies that

$$
\phi(U+S-I) \circ \phi(I) \notin \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}} \backslash\{0\}
$$

This together with (3) yields that

$$
\phi(I) \circ \phi(S) \notin \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}} \backslash\{0\}
$$

which implies that

$$
S=I \circ S \notin \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}} \backslash\{0\}
$$

This is a contradiction, because $S$ is idempotent. So the proof of assertion is completed.

With a similar proof, the assumption $U^{2}=-U$ yields that $U=-I$.
Now let $U^{2}=I$. We assert that $U$ is a multiple of $I$. Assume on the contrary that $U$ is a non-scalar operator. Since $I$ and $U$ are linear independent, there is a nonzero vector $x \in X$ such that $x$ and $U x$ are linear independent. Hence there exists $f \in X^{*}$ such that $f(x)=0$ and $f(U x)=2$. Setting $B=x \otimes f$, we obtain

$$
U \circ B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}} \backslash\{0\}
$$

which implies that

$$
\phi(B)=\phi(U) \circ \phi(B) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}} \backslash\{0\}
$$

This is a contradiction, because $B$ is a nilpotent such that $B^{2}=0$ and so by Lemma 2.1, $\phi(B)$ is a nilpotent operator. So the proof of assertion is completed. By the proved assertion, there exists a nonzero complex number $\lambda$ such that $U=\lambda I$. Since $U^{2}=I$, we obtain $\lambda^{2}=1$ and this completes the proof.

Theorem 2.3. [5] Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be two infinite dimensional real or complex Hilbert spaces and $\phi: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ be an additive map preserving idempotents. Suppose that the range of $\phi$ contains all minimal idempotents. Then $\phi$ either annihilates minimal idempotents or there exists a bounded linear or conjugate linear bijection $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that $\phi(T)=A T A^{-1}$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ or $\phi(T)=A T^{t} A^{-1}$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (in the case that $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are real, $A$ is linear).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since by Lemma 2.2, $\phi(I)=I$ or $\phi(I)=-I$, from $P=I \circ P \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{0\}$ we obtain that $\phi(P)$ or $-\phi(P)$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{0\}$. This together with $\phi(0)=0$ implies that $\phi$ or $-\phi$ preserves the idempotent operators in both directions. Hence the forms of $\phi$ follows from Theorem 2.3.

Proposition 2.4. Let $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H} \geq 3$. Let $A$ be an arbitrary operator of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $P$ be a rank one idempotent operator. Then $A \in \mathbb{C}^{*} P$ if and only if for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $P T \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{0\}$ we have $A T \notin \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{0\}$, where $\mathbb{C}^{*}=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,1\}$.

Proof. If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{*} P$, then there exists a $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ such that $A=\lambda P$. hence it is trivial that for every $T$ such that $P T \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{0\}$ then $\lambda P T \notin \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{0\}$.

Conversely, Let $A \notin \mathbb{C}^{*} P$. Since $P$ is rank one, by [2], there exists either an $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $P x$ and $A x$ are linear independent or an $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and linear independent vectors $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $P=x \otimes z_{1}$ and $A=x \otimes z_{2}$.

If $P x$ and $A x$ are linear independent, then there exists $y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $<P x, y>=<A x, y>=1$, because $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H} \geq 3$. Setting $T=x \otimes y$ follows that $P T \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{0\}$ and also $A T \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{0\}$. This is a contradiction.

If $P=x \otimes z_{1}$ and $A=x \otimes z_{2}$, then there exist $y, z_{3} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $<$ $x, z_{3}>=<y, z_{1}>=<y, z_{2}>=1$. Setting $T=y \otimes z_{3}$ follows that $P T \in$ $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{0\}$ and also $A T \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{0\}$. This is a contradiction.

These contradictions yields that $A \in \mathbb{C}^{*} P$ and this completes the proof.
Lemma 2.5. Let $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a surjective additive map such that

$$
A B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}} \backslash\{0\} \Leftrightarrow \phi(A) \phi(B) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}} \backslash\{0\}
$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) $\phi(I)=I$ or $\phi(I)=-I$.
(ii) If $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H} \geq 3$ and $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, then $\phi(\mathbb{C} P) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \phi(P)$, for every rank one idempotent $P$.

Proof. (i) It is proved by using Lemma 2.1 and similar to the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [13].
(ii) By $(i), \phi(I)=I$ or $\phi(I)=-I$. Since $\phi(0)=0$, we can conclude from $(i)$ that $\phi$ or $-\phi$ preserves the idempotent operators in both directions. By Lemma 2.6 in [14], $\phi$ or $-\phi$ preserves the rank one idempotent operators in both directions. If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{*} P$, then by Proposition 2.4, for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $P T \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{0\}$ we have $A T \notin \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{0\}$ which by surjectivity of $\phi$ imply that for every $T^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\phi(P) T^{\prime} \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{0\}$ we have $\phi(A) T^{\prime} \notin \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{0\}$. Since $\phi(P)$ is a rank one idempotent, by Proposition 2.4 we can conclude that $\phi(A) \in \mathbb{C}^{*} \phi(P)$. This together with $(i)$ and $\phi(0)=0$ follows that $\phi(\mathbb{C} P) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \phi(P)$. This completes the proof.

Proposition 2.6. Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be two infinite dimensional real or complex Hilbert spaces and $\phi: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ be an additive map. If $\phi$ preserves the idempotent operators, then $\phi$ preserves the square zero operators.

Proof. Let $N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a square zero operator. Then we have $\mathcal{H}=\operatorname{ker} N \oplus M$ for some closed subspace $M$ of $\mathcal{H}$. Thus by this decomposition $N$ has the following operator matrix

$$
N=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & N_{1} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

If

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

then $A+n N \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H})$ for every natural number $n$. It implies that $\phi(A)+n \phi(N) \in$ $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{K})$ for every natural number $n$. That is,

$$
\phi(A)+n \phi(N)=\phi(A)^{2}+n(\phi(A) \phi(N)+\phi(N) \phi(A))+n^{2} \phi(N)^{2}
$$

for all $n$. Setting $n=1$ and $n=2$ yield

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi(N)=\phi(A) \phi(N)+\phi(N) \phi(A)+\phi(N)^{2}, \\
2 \phi(N)=2(\phi(A) \phi(N)+\phi(N) \phi(A))+4 \phi(N)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

which imply that $\phi(N)^{2}=0$ and this completes the proof.
Theorem 2.7. [1] Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be two infinite dimensional complex Hilbert spaces and $\phi: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ be a surjective additive map such that $\phi(\mathbb{C} P) \subseteq$ $\mathbb{C} \phi(P)$ holds for every rank one operator $P$. Then $\phi$ preserves square zero in both directions if and only if there exists a nonzero scalar $c$ and a bounded linear or conjugate linear bijection $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that $\phi(T)=c A T A^{-1}$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ or $\phi(T)=c A T^{t} A^{-1}$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By a similar proof to that of Lemma 2.3 in [15], we obtain that $\phi$ is injective. Since $\phi(0)=0$, we can conclude from part $(i)$ of Lemma 2.5 that $\phi$ or $-\phi$ preserves the idempotent operators in both directions. This together with Proposition 2.6 and the injectivity of $\phi$ implies that $\phi$ preserves the square zero operators in both directions. Moreover, by part $(i)$ of Lemma 2.5, $\phi(\mathbb{C} P) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \phi(P)$, for every rank one idempotent $P$. Therefore the forms of $\phi$ follow from Theorem 2.7. The scalar c in Theorem 2.7 is the scalar that $\phi(I)=c I$ (by the proof of this theorem in [2]). This together with the part $(i)$ of Lemma 2.5 completes the proof.
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