

THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF FINITE GRAPHS

G. H. FATH-TABAR
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF
KASHAN, KASHAN 87317-51167, IRAN
EMAIL: FATHTABAR@KASHANU.AC.IR

ABSTRACT. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph with exactly n vertices and m edges. The aim of this paper is a new method for investigating non-triviality of the automorphism group of graphs. To do this, we prove that if $|E| \geq \lfloor (n-1)^2/2 \rfloor$ then $|Aut(G)| > 1$ and $|Aut(G)|$ is even number.

Keywords and phrases: Finite graph, graph automorphism, bipartite graph.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 20D45, Secondary 05C25.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper all graphs mentioned are assumed to be finite simple graph. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph of order n with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, $E \subseteq P_2(V)$ and $|E| = m$. The automorphism group of a graph G is denoted by $Aut(G)$.

In [2, 3], the authors proved that the proportion of graphs which have a non-trivial automorphism group tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This is true whether we take labeled or unlabeled graphs. Let G_1, G_2 be two graphs. Then $G_1 + G_2$ is join of G_1 and G_2 namely every vertex of G_1 is join to every vertex of G_2 .

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with n vertices and $x \in V(G)$. We define $V_x = \{t \in V(G) \mid xt \in E(G)\}$. If $V_x - \{y\} = V_y - \{x\}$ then we call $x, y \in V(G)$ to be co-adjacent.

Theorem 1.1. *If $G = (V, E)$ is a finite simple graph with two vertices that are co-adjacent then $2 \mid |Aut(G)|$ and $|Aut(G)| > 1$.*

Proof. Let x, y be co-adjacent. Our main proof consider two separate cases:

Case 1. If x, y are not adjacent then $V_x = V_y$. We now define $f : V(G) \rightarrow V(G)$ by $f(x) = y, f(y) = x, f(t) = t$, for $t \notin \{x, y\}$. Since $V_x = V_y$, f is an automorphism. One can see that $f \neq \text{identity}$ and $O(f) = 2$. Thus $2||\text{Aut}(G)|$ and $|\text{Aut}(G)| > 1$.

Case 2. Suppose x, y are adjacent. Then $V_x - \{y\} = V_y - \{x\}$ and a similar argument as Case 1 shows that $f : V(G) \rightarrow V(G)$ is an isomorphism, where $f(x) = y, f(y) = x$ and $f(t) = t$, for $t \notin \{x, y\}$. Therefore $2||\text{Aut}(G)|$, proving the theorem. \square

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $x_i, y_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, are co-adjacent and $\{x_i, y_i\} \cap \{x_j, y_j\} = \phi, i \neq j$, then $2^k ||\text{Aut}(G)|$.*

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 1, $(x_i, y_i) \in \text{Aut}(G)$ and $(x_i, y_i)(x_j, y_j) = (x_j, y_j)(x_i, y_i)$, because $\{x_i, y_i\} \cap \{x_j, y_j\} = \phi$ and $(x_i, y_i), (x_j, y_j)$ are disjoint permutation of order 2. Thus $\langle (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_k, y_k) \rangle = \langle (x_1, y_1) \rangle \times \langle (x_2, y_2) \rangle \times \dots \times \langle (x_k, y_k) \rangle$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ and by Lagrange's theorem $O(\langle (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_k, y_k) \rangle) ||\text{Aut}(G)|$. Therefore $O(\langle (x_i, y_i), (x_j, y_j) \rangle) = O((x_i, y_i))O((x_j, y_j))$ and hence $2^k ||\text{Aut}(G)|$. \square

Example 1.3. Suppose $G = (V, E)$ in which

$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \quad E = \{13, 24, 32, 41, 34\}.$$

Then $\{1, 2\} \cap \{3, 4\} = \phi$ and so $4 ||\text{Aut}(G)|$. Thus $|\text{Aut}(G)| = 4$ and $\text{Aut}(G) \cong Z_2 \times Z_2$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a graph with n vertices. If $|E| \geq \lfloor (n-1)^2/2 \rfloor$ then there exists a co-adjacent pair $(x, y) \in V(G)$.*

Proof. Since two vertices with the same degree $n-1$ are co-adjacent, so it is enough to assume that G have at most one vertex of degree $n-1$. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1. n is even. Then $\lfloor (n-1)^2/2 \rfloor = \frac{n(n-2)}{2}$. Since the number of edges in a $n-2$ -regular graph is $\frac{n(n-2)}{2}$, there are at least two co-adjacent vertices of degree $n-1$, whenever $|E| > \frac{n(n-2)}{2}$. If $|E| = \frac{n(n-2)}{2}$ and G is $(n-2)$ -regular then every two non-adjacent vertices of degree $n-2$ are co-adjacent. If $|E| = \frac{n(n-2)}{2}$ and G is not $(n-2)$ -regular then there exist $x, y \in V(G)$ such that $\text{deg}(x) = \text{deg}(y) = n-2$ and x, y are not adjacent. Thus these are co-adjacent. Otherwise $2|E| \leq (n-2)(n-3) + (n-2) + (n-1) < n(n-2)$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose n is odd. Then $|E| = \lfloor (n-1)^2/2 \rfloor = \frac{(n-1)^2}{2}$. If there are two vertices of degree $n-1$ then they are co-adjacent, otherwise if G dose not have one vertex of degree $n-1$, then a similar argument as above completes the proof. Suppose there exist one vertex of degree $n-1$. Then by omitting this

vertex $G-v$ has order $n-1$ and $n-1$ is even. Since $|E(G-v)| \geq (n-1)(n-3)/2$, a simple argument as Case 1 completes the proof. \square

Example 1.5. Suppose $G = (V, E)$, where

$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \quad E = \{12, 14, 15, 23, 24, 34, 45\}.$$

We can see that G does not satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3 with one edge less than $\lfloor (n-1)^2/2 \rfloor$ and there are not co-adjacent vertices. This shows that the bound given in Theorem 3 is sharp.

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

This section is concerned with the main theorem of the paper. Some new results are also presented.

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a graph with $|E| = m \geq \lfloor (n-1)^2/2 \rfloor$. Then $|Aut(G)| > 1$ and $|Aut(G)|$ is even number.*

Proof. Suppose $|E| \geq \lfloor (n-1)^2/2 \rfloor$. Then by Theorem 3, there are two vertices x, y such that x, y are co-adjacent and by Theorem 1, we can conclude that $2||Aut(G)||$, proving the theorem. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ such that every two member of A or B are co-adjacent. Then $Aut(G)$ contains a subgroup of order $|A!||B!|$.*

Proof. Suppose $G_A = \{f \in Aut(G) | f(x) = x, \forall x \notin A\}$ and $G_B = \{f \in Aut(G) | f(x) = x, \forall x \notin B\}$. We can see that G_A and G_B are subgroups of $Aut(G)$ such that $G_A \cong S_{|A|}$ and $G_B \cong S_{|B|}$. Notice that if $f \in G_A$ and $g \in G_B$ then f, g are disjoint permutation and $fg = gf$. Thus $G_A G_B = G_B G_A$ and so $G_A G_B$ is a subgroup of $Aut(G)$. Since $|G_A| = |A!|, |G_B| = |B!|$ and $G_A \cap G_B = \{e\}$, $|G_A G_B| = |G_A||G_B| = |A!||B!|$. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph, $A, B \subseteq V$, $|V| = A \cup B$ and $deg(a) \neq deg(b)$, for all $a \in A, b \in B$. Then $Aut(G) \cong S_{|A|} \times S_{|B|}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5, $G_A G_B \leq Aut(G)$. Since $deg(a) \neq deg(b)$, $a \in A$ is not commute with $b \in B$. This means that $Aut(G) = G_A G_B$. By Theorem 5, $|Aut(G)| = |A!||B!|$ and $G_A \cap G_B = \{e\}$. Hence $G_A, G_B \trianglelefteq Aut(G)$ and $Aut(G) \cong G_A \times G_B$. Obviously, $G_A \cong S_{|A|}, G_B \cong S_{|B|}$ and so $Aut(G) \cong S_{|A|} \times S_{|B|}$. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Suppose $n_i \neq n_j$, where i, j are distinct. Then*

$$Aut(K_{n_1, n_2, n_3}) \cong S_{n_1} \times S_{n_2} \times S_{n_3}.$$

Proof. Suppose A, B and C are the part of K_{n_1, n_2, n_3} containing n_1, n_2 and n_3 vertices, respectively. Apply Theorem 6. One can see that elements of A, B and C have degree $n_1 + n_2, n_1 + n_3$ and $n_2 + n_3$, as desired. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose $G_i, i = 1, 2$ are (n, m_i) -graph with $m_1 = C(n, 2) - 1$ and $m_2 = C(n, 2) - 2$. Then*

- a) $Aut(G_1) \cong Z_2 \times S_{n-2}$.
- b) $Aut(G_2) \cong Z_2 \times S_{n-3}$ or $Aut(G_2) \cong D_4 \times S_{n-4}$.

Proof. a) Suppose A and B are subsets with two and $n - 2$ elements of $V(G)$, where elements of A have degree $n - 2$ and elements of B have degree $n - 1$. Thus elements of A are co-adjacent and the same are true for elements of B . We now apply Theorem 6 to prove $Aut(G_1) \cong Z_2 \times S_{n-2}$.

b) By omitting two edges from the complete graph K_n , one can prove there are four vertices of degree $n - 2$ or two vertices with degree $n - 2$ and one vertex of degree $n - 3$. Thus by Theorem 6, in the first case A contains two element of degree two and B contains $n - 3$ elements of degree $n - 1$. Thus $Aut(G_2) \cong Z_2 \times S_{n-3}$. In the second part one can see that there are four vertices of degree $n - 2$ and $n - 4$ vertices of degree $n - 1$. By omitting this $n - 4$ vertices, we obtain the cycle graph C_4 , where $Aut(C_4) \cong D_4$. A similar argument shows that $Aut(G_2) \cong D_4 \times S_{n-4}$. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose G_1 and G_2 are two graphs. If $H_1 \leq Aut(G_1)$ and $H_2 \leq Aut(G_2)$ then $H_1 \times H_2 \leq Aut(G_1 + G_2)$. Also, if $|d(x_i) - d(y_j)| \neq |n_1 - n_2|, i = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2$ then*

$$Aut(G_1 + G_2) \cong Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2)$$

Proof. Let $H_1 \leq Aut(G_1)$ and $H_2 \leq Aut(G_2)$. Then it is obvious that $H_1 \times H_2 \leq Aut(G_1 + G_2)$. For proving the second part of the theorem, we assume that $H_1 = Aut(G_1)$ and $H_2 = Aut(G_2)$. Then $Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2) \leq Aut(G_1 + G_2)$. Suppose $f(x_i) = y_j$. Then $d(x_i) + n_2 = d(y_j) + n_1$ and so $d(x_i) - d(y_j) = n_1 - n_2$. This implies that $|d(x_i) - d(y_j)| = |n_1 - n_2|$, a contradiction. Thus vertices of G_1 and G_2 cannot interchange to each other and so $|Aut(G_1 + G_2)| = |Aut(G_1)||Aut(G_2)|$. Hence $Aut(G_1 + G_2) \cong Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2)$. \square

In the end of this paper, we compute the automorphism groups of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ and a summation of complete bipartite graphs. To do this, we notice that $K_{m,n} = \bar{K}_m + \bar{K}_n$.

Corollary 2.7. *Suppose $m = m_1 + m_2, m' = m'_1 + m'_2$ and $|m_i - m_j| \neq |m - m'|$. Then $Aut(K_{m_1 m_2} + K_{m'_1 m'_2}) \cong Aut(K_{m_1 m_2}) \times Aut(K_{m'_1 m'_2})$. In particular if $m_1 \neq m_2, m'_1 \neq m'_2$ then $Aut(K_{m_1 m_2} + K_{m'_1 m'_2}) \cong S_{m_1} \times S_{m_2} \times S_{m'_1} \times S_{m'_2}$.*

Proof. Apply Theorems 6 and 7. \square

Acknowledgement. The work of the author was supported in part by a grant from the Center of Excellence of Algebraic Methods and Applications of the Isfahan University of Technology.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Biggs, *Algebraic Graph theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [2] P. J. Cameron, *Automorphism of graphs*, University of London, Queen Mary, Draft, April 2001.
- [3] N. C. Wormald, *Models of random regular graphs*, in: *Surveys in combinatorics*, (ed. J.D. Lamb. and D.A. Preece), London Math. Soc, Lecture Notes Series 267, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1999), 239-298.