Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics

Vol. 17, No. 1 (2022), pp 135-144 DOI: 10.52547/ijmsi.17.1.135

Some New Uniqueness Results of Solutions for Fractional Volterra-Fredholm Integro-Differential Equations

Ahmed A. Hamoud a,b* , Kirtiwant P. Ghadle b

 a Department of Mathematics, Taiz University, Taiz, Yemen b Department of Mathematics, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad, India

E-mail: drahmed985@yahoo.com E-mail: drkb.ghadle@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. This paper establishes a study on some important latest innovations in the uniqueness of solution for Caputo fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations. To apply this, the study uses Banach contraction principle and Bihari's inequality. A wider applicability of these techniques are based on their reliability and reduction in the size of the mathematical work.

Keywords: Caputo fractional derivative, Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equation, Banach contraction principle, Bihari's inequality.

2010 Mathematics subject classification: 26A33, 34A12, 26D10.

1. Introduction

In the fractional calculus the various integral inequalities plays an important role in the study of qualitative and quantitative properties of solution of differential and integral equations.

In recent years, many authors focus on the development of techniques for discussing the solutions of fractional integro-differential equations. For instance, we can remember the following works:

Received 12 July 2018; Accepted 26 January 2019 ©2022 Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research TMU

^{*}Corresponding Author

Ahmad and Sivasundaram [1] studied some existence and uniqueness results in a Banach space for the fractional integro-differential equation (1.1) with nonlinear condition $h(x_0) = h_0 - f(x)$. Momani et al.[2], proved the Local and global uniqueness result by using Bihari's inequality for the fractional integro-differential equation (1.1) with the initial condition $h(x_0) = h_0$, Wu and Liu [3] discussed the existence and uniqueness of solutions for fractional integro-differential equations (1.1) with conditions $h(x_0) + f(x) = h_0$. Karthikeyan and Trujillo [4], proved existence and uniqueness of solutions for fractional integro-differential equations with boundary value conditions.

$$^{c}D^{\alpha}h(x) = g(x, h(x)) + \int_{x_0}^{x} K(x, t, h(t))dt, \qquad 0 < \alpha \le 1,$$
 (1.1)

Recently, in [2, 5] the author's obtained the result on uniqueness of solutions for fractional integro-differential with initial condition using the Bihari's inequality.

Motivated by above work, in this paper we discuss new uniqueness results for Caputo fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equation of the form [6, 7]:

$$^{c}D^{\alpha}h(t) = f(t)h(t) + g(t,h(t)) + \int_{t_0}^{t} Z_1(t,s,h(s))ds + \int_{t_0}^{b} Z_2(t,s,h(s))ds, \quad (1.2)$$

with the initial condition

$$h(t_0) = h_0, (1.3)$$

where ${}^cD^{\alpha}$ is the Caputo's fractional derivative, $0 < \alpha \le 1$ and $h: J \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $J = [t_0, b]$ is the continuous function which has to be determined, $g: J \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $Z_i: J \times J \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, i = 1, 2 are continuous functions.

The main objective of the present paper is to study the new uniqueness results of the solution for Caputo fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equation.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, some preliminaries, basic definitions and Lemma related to fractional calculus are recalled. In Section 3, the new uniqueness results of the solution for Caputo fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equation have been proved. Finally, we will give a report on our paper and a brief conclusion is given in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

The mathematical definitions of fractional derivative and fractional integration are the subject of several different approaches. The fractional derivative and applications have been addressed extensively by several researchers. For example, we refer the reader to [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24] and

the references cited therein. In this section, we show the most frequently used definitions of the fractional calculus involves the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, Caputo derivative [7, 8, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. Let $C(J, \mathbb{R})$ is the Banach space endowed with the infinity norm $||h||_{\infty} = \sup\{|h(x)| : x \in J = [t_0, b]\}$, for any $h \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 2.1. [25] (Riemann-Liouville fractional integral). The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ of a function h is defined as

$$J^{\alpha}h(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1}h(t)dt, \qquad x > 0, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

$$J^0h(x) = h(x),$$

where \mathbb{R}^+ is the set of positive real numbers.

Definition 2.2. [25] (Caputo fractional derivative). The fractional derivative of h(x) in the Caputo sense is defined by

$${}^{c}D_{x}^{\alpha}h(x) = J^{m-\alpha}D^{m}h(x)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x} (x-t)^{m-\alpha-1} \frac{d^{m}h(t)}{dt^{m}} dt, & m-1 < \alpha < m, \\ \frac{d^{m}h(x)}{dx^{m}}, & \alpha = m, & m \in N, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.1)$$

where the parameter α is the order of the derivative and is allowed to be real or even complex. In this paper, only real and positive α will be considered.

Hence, we have the following properties:

- $\begin{array}{l} (1) \ J^{\alpha}J^{v}h = J^{\alpha+v}h, \quad \alpha, v > 0. \\ (2) \ J^{\alpha}h^{\beta} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\beta+\alpha+1)}h^{\beta+\alpha}, \\ (3) \ D^{\alpha}h^{\beta} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha+1)}h^{\beta-\alpha}, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad \beta > -1, \quad x > 0. \\ (4) \ J^{\alpha}D^{\alpha}h(x) = h(x) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1}h^{(k)}(0^{+})\frac{x^{k}}{k!}, \quad x > 0, \quad m-1 < \alpha \leq m. \end{array}$

Definition 2.3. [25] (Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative). The Riemann Liouville fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$ is normally defined as

$$D^{\alpha}h(x) = D^{m}J^{m-\alpha}h(x), \qquad m-1 < \alpha \le m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (2.2)

Lemma 2.4. [26] (Banach contraction principle). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, then each contraction mapping $\Psi: X \longrightarrow X$ has a unique fixed point x of Ψ in X i.e. $\Psi x = x$.

Lemma 2.5. [2] (Bihari's inequality). Let $g:[0,+\infty) \longrightarrow (0,+\infty)$ is continuous and monotone-increasing. h: $[a,b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a continuous function that satisfies the inequality

$$h(t) \le a + \int_{t_0}^t K(s)g(h(s))ds.$$

Downloaded from ijmsi.com on 2025-10-19

DOI: 10.52547/ijmsi.17.1.135

Then the following inequality hold

$$h(t) \le \theta^{-1} \left[\theta(a) + \int_{t_0}^t K(s) ds. \right]$$

where $\theta: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a primitive of $\frac{1}{g(t)}$, ie. $\theta(x) = \int_{u_o}^u \frac{ds}{g(s)}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

3. Main Results

In this section, we will display and prove the uniqueness results of problem (1.2) - (1.3). Before starting and proving our main results, we present the following lemma and some useful hypotheses:

(H1): $Z_1, Z_2: J \times J \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous on $D = \{(t,s): 0 \leq t_0 \leq t_$ s < t < b} such that

$$\int_{s}^{t} |Z_{1}(\tau, s, h_{1}(s)) - Z_{1}(\tau, s, h_{2}(s))| dt \leq L_{z_{1}} \lambda |h_{1}(s) - h_{2}(s)|$$

$$\int_{s}^{b} |Z_{2}(\tau, s, h_{1}(s)) - Z_{2}(\tau, s, h_{2}(s))| dt \leq L_{z_{2}} \lambda |h_{1}(s) - h_{2}(s)|$$

(H2): The function $g: J \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

$$|g(t, h_1) - g(t, h_2)| \le \lambda |h_1 - h_2|$$

(H3): The function $f: J \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

where $h_1, h_2 \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$, $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is nondecreasing continuous function with $\lambda(0) = 0$ and $\int_0^R \frac{dt}{\lambda(t)} = +\infty$, 0 < t < 1, and L_{z_1}, L_{z_2} are positive constants.

Lemma 3.1. If $h_0(t) \in C(J,\mathbb{R})$, then $h(t) \in C(J,\mathbb{R}^+)$ is a solution of the problem (1.2) - (1.3) iff h satisfying

$$h(t) = h_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) h(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, h(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_s^t Z_1(\tau, s, h(s)) d\tau + \int_s^b Z_2(\tau, s, h(s)) d\tau \right) ds, (3.1)$$

for $t \in J$.

Proof. It can be proved easily by applying the integral operator (2.1) to both sides of (1.2) to get the integral equation (3.1).

Our first result depends on Bihari's inequality.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that (H1)–(H3) hold. If

$$\frac{\|f\|_{\infty} b^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} < 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Then there exists a unique solution $h(t) \in C(J)$ to (1.2) - (1.3).

Proof. By Lemma 3.1. we know that a function h is a solution to (1.2) - (1.3) iff h satisfies

$$h(t) = h_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) h(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, h(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_s^t Z_1(\tau, s, h(s)) d\tau + \int_s^b Z_2(\tau, s, h(s)) d\tau \right) ds.$$

Let $h_1, h_2 \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ and for any $t \in J$ such that

$$h_1(t) = h_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) h_1(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, h_1(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_s^t Z_1(\tau, s, h_1(s)) d\tau + \int_s^b Z_2(\tau, s, h_1(s)) d\tau \right) ds.$$

and

$$h_{2}(t) = h_{0} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) h_{2}(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, h_{2}(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_{s}^{t} Z_{1}(\tau, s, h_{2}(s)) d\tau + \int_{s}^{b} Z_{2}(\tau, s, h_{2}(s)) d\tau \right) ds.$$

Consequently, by (H1), (H2) and (H3), then for $t \in J$, we have

$$\begin{split} |h_1(t) - h_2(t)| & \leq & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s)| |h_1(t) - h_2(t)| ds \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |g(s,h_1(s)) - g(s,h_2(s))| ds \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \Big(\int_s^t |Z_1(\tau,s,h_1(s)) - Z_1(\tau,s,h_2(s))| d\tau \\ & + \int_s^b |Z_2(\tau,s,h_1(s)) - Z_2(\tau,s,h_2(s))| d\tau \Big) ds. \\ & \leq & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ||f||_{\infty} |h_1(s) - h_2(s)| ds \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \lambda |h_1(s) - h_2(s)| ds \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \Big(L_{z_1} \lambda |h_1(s) - h_2(s)| + L_{z_2} \lambda |h_1(s) - h_2(s)| \Big) ds. \\ & \leq & \frac{||f||_{\infty} b^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} |h_1(t) - h_2(t)| + \frac{(1+L_{z_1} + L_{z_2})}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \lambda |h_1(s) - h_2(s)| ds. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$|h_1(t) - h_2(t)| \le \sigma + \frac{(1 + L_{z_1} + L_{z_2})}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} \lambda |h_1(s) - h_2(s)| ds.$$

where $\sigma > 0$, now we can apply Bihari's inequality to obtain

$$|h_1(t) - h_2(t)| \le \theta^{-1} \left[\theta(\sigma) + \frac{Nb^{\alpha}}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \right]$$
(3.3)

where $\theta(h)$ is a primitive of the function $\frac{1}{\theta(h)}$, and θ^{-1} denotes the inverse of θ and $N = \frac{(1+L_{z_1}+L_{z_2})}{1-\|f\|_{\infty}}$. It follows that $\theta^{-1}\Big[\theta(\sigma) + \frac{Nb^{\alpha}}{\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)}\Big] \longrightarrow 0$. We shall prove that the right-hand side of (3.3) tends toward zero as $\sigma \longrightarrow 0$. Since $|h_1(t) - h_2(t)|$ is independent of σ , it follows that $h_1(t) = h_2(t)$. So, $h(t) \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ is the unique solution of the initial value problem (1.2) – (1.3) and the proof is completed.

We shall next discuss another uniqueness result for the initial value problem (1.2) - (1.3) using the Banach contraction principle.

Before starting and proving we introduce the new following hypotheses:

(I): $Z_1, Z_2: J \times J \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous on $D = \{(t, s): 0 \le t_0 \le s \le t \le b\}$ such that

$$|Z_1(\tau, s, h_1(s)) - Z_1(\tau, s, h_2(s))|dt \le L_{s,s}^* ||h_1(s) - h_2(s)||$$

$$|Z_2(\tau, s, h_1(s)) - Z_2(\tau, s, h_2(s))|dt \le L_{z_2}^* ||h_1(s) - h_2(s)||$$

(II): The function $g: J \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

$$|g(t, h_1) - g(t, h_2)| \le L_a^* ||h_1 - h_2||$$

(III): The function $f: J \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

where $L_{z_1}^*, L_{z_2}^*$ and L_q^* are positive constants.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that the hypotheses (I)–(III) are satisfied. And let β and γ be two positive real numbers such that $0 < \beta < 1$ and

$$\[\frac{\|f\|_{\infty} + L_g^*}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} + \frac{(L_{z_1}^* + L_{z_2}^*)b}{\alpha + 1\Gamma(\alpha)}\]b^{\alpha} = \beta,\]$$

$$|h_0| + \left[\frac{g_0}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{(z_1^* + z_2^*)b}{\alpha + 1\Gamma(\alpha)}\right]b^{\alpha} = (1-\beta)\gamma.$$

Then the initial value problem (1.2)-(1.3) has a unique solution continuous on $[t_0,b]$, where $g_0 = \max\{|g(s,0)|: s \in J\}$, $z_1^* = \max\{|Z_1(\tau,s,0)|: (\tau,s) \in D\}$ and $z_2^* = \max\{|Z_2(\tau,s,0)|: (\tau,s) \in D\}$.

Proof. Let the operator $T:C(J,\mathbb{R})\to C(J,\mathbb{R})$ be defined by

$$(Th)(t) = h_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) h(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s,h(s)) ds$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_s^t Z_1(\tau,s,h(s)) d\tau + \int_{t_0}^b Z_2(\tau,s,h(s)) d\tau \right) ds,$$

and define $\Phi_{\gamma} = h \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) : ||h||_{\infty} \leq \gamma$ for some $\gamma > 0$. Now, we need to prove that the operator T has a fixed point on $\Phi_{\gamma} \subset C(J, \mathbb{R})$. This fixed point is the unique solution of the initial value problem (1.2) - (1.3). In order that, we present the proof in two steps:

Step 1. We need to prove that the operator $T\Phi_{\gamma} \subset \Phi_{\gamma}$. By the above hypotheses, then for any $h \in \Phi_{\gamma}$ and for $t \in J$, we have

$$\begin{split} |(Th)(t)| & \leq |h_0| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s)| |h(s)| ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |g(s,h(s))| ds \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_s^t |Z_1(\tau,s,h(s))| d\tau + \int_{t_0}^b |Z_2(\tau,s,h(s))| d\tau \right) ds, \\ & \leq |h_0| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ||f||_{\infty} ||h||_{\infty} ds \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (|g(s,h(s)) - g(s,0)| + |g(s,0)|) ds \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_s^t (|Z_1(\tau,s,h(s)) - Z_1(\tau,s,0)| + |Z_1(\tau,s,0)|) d\tau \right) ds \\ & + \int_{t_0}^b (|Z_2(\tau,s,h(s)) - Z_2(\tau,s,0)| + |Z_2(\tau,s,0)|) d\tau \right) ds, \\ & \leq |h_0| + \frac{||f||_{\infty} b^{\alpha\gamma}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{b^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} (L_g^*\gamma + g_0) + \frac{b^{(\alpha+1)}}{(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha)} (L_{z_1}^*\gamma + z_1^*) \\ & + \frac{b^{(\alpha+1)}}{(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha)} (L_{z_2}^*\gamma + z_2^*) \\ & \leq |h_0| + \left(\frac{g_0}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{(z_1^* + z_2^*)b}{(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha)} \right) b^{\alpha} + \left(\frac{||f||_{\infty} + L_g^*}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{(L_{z_1}^* + L_{z_2}^*)b}{(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha)} \right) b^{\alpha} \gamma \\ & = (1-\beta)\gamma + \beta\gamma = \gamma. \end{split}$$

It follows that $||Th|| \leq \gamma$, this implies that $Th \in \Phi_{\gamma}$ which leads to $T\Phi_{\gamma} \subset \Phi_{\gamma}$.

Step 2. We need to prove that T is contraction mapping.

Downloaded from ijmsi.com on 2025-10-19

Let $h_1, h_2 \in \Phi_{\gamma}$ we get:

$$\begin{split} &|(Th_1)(t) - (Th_2)(t)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \, |f(s)| \, |h_1(s) - h_2(s)| \, ds \\ &\qquad \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \, |g(s,h_1(s)) - g(s,h_2(s))| \, ds \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \Big(\int_s^t |Z_1(\tau,s,h_1(s)) - Z_1(\tau,s,h_2(s))| \, d\tau \\ &\qquad + \int_s^b |Z_2(\tau,s,h_1(s)) - Z_2(\tau,s,h_2(s))| \, d\tau \Big) ds \\ &\leq \frac{\|f\|_\infty b^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \|h_1 - h_2\| + \frac{L_g^* b^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \|h_1 - h_2\| + \frac{L_{z_1}^* b^{\alpha+1} + L_{z_2}^* b^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha)} \|h_1 - h_2\| \\ &= \left(\frac{\|f\|_\infty + L_g^*}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{(L_{z_1}^* + L_{z_2}^*)b}{(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha)} \right) b^\alpha \, \|h_1 - h_2\| \\ &= \varepsilon \, \|h_1 - h_2\| \, . \end{split}$$
 Since $\varepsilon = \left(\frac{\|f\|_\infty + L_g^*}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{(L_{z_1}^* + L_{z_2}^*)b}{(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha)} \right) b^\alpha < 1$, we get $\|Th_1 - Th_2\| \leq \varepsilon \, \|h_1 - h_2\| \, . \end{split}$

This implies that T is contraction mapping. As consequence of Lemma 2.2, there exists a fixed point $h \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ such that Th = h which is the unique solution of the initial value problem (1.2)-(1.3), and the proof is completed. \square

4. Conclusions

The main purpose of this paper was to present new uniqueness results of the solution for Caputo fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential. The techniques used to prove our results are a variety of tools such as Bihari's inequality, some properties of fractional calculus and Banach contraction mapping principle. Moreover, the results of references [2, 4, 5] appear as special cases of our results.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the editor and referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and insightful comments, which vastly contributed to the improvement of the presentation of the paper.

References

 B. Ahmad, S. Sivasundaram, Some Existence Results for Fractional Integro-Differential Equations With Nonlinear Conditions, Communications Appl. Anal., 12, (2008), 107-112.

- S. Momani, A. Jameel, S. Al-Azawi, Local and Global Uniqueness Theorems on Fractional Integro-Differential Equations via Bihari's and Gronwall's Inequalities, Soochow Journal of Mathematics, 33 (4), (2007), 619-627.
- J. Wu, Y. Liu, Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions for the Fractional Integro-Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 2009, (2009), 1-8.
- K. Karthikeyan, J. Trujillo, Existence and Uniqueness Results for Fractional Integro-Differential Equations With Boundary Value Conditions, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat, 17, (2012), 4037-4043.
- M. Abdol, S. Panchal, Some New Uniqueness Results of Solutions to Nonlinear Fractional Integro-Differential Equations, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 16 (2), (2018), 345-352.
- A. A. Hamoud, K. P. Ghadle, The Approximate Solutions of Fractional Volterra-Fredholm Integro-Differential Equations by Using Analytical Techniques, *Probl. Anal. Issues Anal.*, 7 (25) (1), (2018), 41-58.
- A. A. Hamoud, M. SH. Bani Issa, K. P. Ghadle, Existence and Uniqueness Results for Nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm Integro-Differential Equations, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications, 23 (4), (2018), 797-805.
- A. A. Hamoud, K. P. Ghadle, Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions for Fractional Mixed Volterra-Fredholm Integro-Differential Equations, *Indian J. Math.*, 60 (3), (2018), 375-395.
- A. A. Hamoud, K. P. Ghadle, M. SH. Bani Issa, Giniswamy, Existence and Uniqueness Theorems for Fractional Volterra-Fredholm Integro-Differential Equations, Int. J. Appl. Math., 31 (3), (2018), 333-348.
- J. -F. Chen, Y. -M. Chu, Solution to the Linear Fractional Differential Equation Using Adomian Decomposition Method, Math. Probl. Eng., 2011, (2011), 1-14.
- J. -F. Chen, Y. -M. Chu, On the Fractional Difference Equations of Order (2, q), Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2011, (2011), 1-16.
- J. -F. Chen, Y. -M. Chu, Fractional Difference Equations With Real Variables, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2012, (2012), 1-24.
- A. A. Hamoud, K. P. Ghadle, Some New Existence, Uniqueness and Convergence Results for Fractional Volterra-Fredholm Integro-Differential Equations, J. Appl. Comput. Mech. 5 (1), (2019), 58-69.
- M. Adil Khan, Y. Khurshid, T.-S. Du, Y.-M. Chu, Generalization of Hermite-Hadamard Type Inequalities via Conformable Fractional Integrals, J. Funct. Spaces, 2018, (2018), 1-12.
- M. Adil Khan, Y. -M. Chu, A. Kashuri, R. Liko, G. Ali, Conformable fractional integrals of Versions of Hermite-Hadamard inequalities and Their generalizations, *J. Funct. Spaces*, 2018, (2018), 1-9.
- M. Adil Khan, S. Begum, Y. Khurshid, Y.-M. Chu, Ostrowski Type Inequalities Involving Conformable Fractional Integrals, J. Inequal. Appl., 2018, (2018), 1-14.
- M. Adil Khan, A. Iqbal, M. Suleman, Y.-M. Chu, Hermite-Hadamard Type Inequalities for Fractional Integrals via Green's Function, J. Inequal. Appl., 2018, (2018), 1-15.
- 18. M. Adil Khan, Y. -M. Chu, A. Kashuri and R. Liko, Herimte-Hadamard Type Fractional Integral Inequalities for $\mathrm{MT}_{(r;g,m,varphi)}$ -Preinvex Functions, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.*, **26** (8), (2019), 1487-1503.
- K. Miller, B. Ross, An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Differential Equations, John Wiley, New York, 1993.
- V. Lakshmikantham, M. Rao, Theory of Integro-Differential Equations, Gordon & Breach, London, 1995.

- S. Samko, A. Kilbas, O. Marichev, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, 1993.
- A. A. Hamoud, K. P. Ghadle, Usage of the Homotopy Analysis Method for Solving Fractional Volterra-Fredholm Integro-Differential Equation of the Second Kind, *Tamkang Journal Of Mathematics*, 49 (4), (2018), 301-315.
- A. A. Hamoud, K. P. Ghadle, S.M. Atshan, The Approximate Solutions of Fractional Integro-Differential Equations by Using Modified Adomian Decomposition Method, Khayyam J. Math. 5 (1), (2019), 21-39.
- 24. A. A. Hamoud, K. P. Ghadle, Existence and Uniqueness of the Solution for Volterra-Fredholm Integro-Differential Equations, *Journal of Siberian Federal University*. Mathematics & Physics, 11 (6), (2018), 692-701.
- A. Kilbas, H. Srivastava, J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, North-Holland Math. Stud., 204, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- Y. Zhou, Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations, Singapore: World Scientific, 2014.