Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics

Vol. 13, No. 2 (2018), pp 101-109 DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2018.13.009

## On *I*-Statistical Convergence

Shyamal Debnath\*,a, Debjani Rakshit<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Tripura University, Agartala-799022, India.
 <sup>b</sup>Department of FST, ICFAI University, Tripura, Kamalghat, West Tripura-799210, India.

E-mail: shyamalnitamath@gmail.com E-mail: debjanirakshit88@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate the notion of I-statistical convergence and introduce I-st limit points and I-st cluster points of real number sequence and also studied some of its basic properties.

**Keywords:** *I*-limit point, *I*-cluster point, *I*-statistically Convergent.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 40A35, 40D25.

# 1. Introduction

In 1951 Fast [6] and Steinhaus [18] introduced the concept of statistical convergence independently and established a relation with summability. Later on it was further investigated from sequence space point of view by Fridy [8], Salat [19] and many others. Some applications of statistical convergence in number theory and mathematical analysis can be found in [1, 2, 13, 14, 21].

The notion of I-convergence is a generalization of the statistical convergence which was introduced by Kostyrko et al. [12]. They used the notion of an ideal I of subsets of the set N to define such a concept. For an extensive view of this article we refer [4, 11, 20].

The idea of I-convergence was further extended to I-statistical convergence by Savas and Das [16]. Later on more investigation in this direction was done

Received 04 February 2016; Accepted 27 September 2017 ©2018 Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research TMU

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author

by Savas and Das [17], Debnath and Debnath [3], Mursaleen et.al [15], Et et al. [5] and many others [9, 10, 22, 23] . In [16], Savas and Das introduced the I-statistical convergence and I- $\lambda$ -statistical convergence and the relation between them. Also they studied these concept in the notion of  $[V, \lambda]$ - summability method.

In the present paper we return to the view of *I*-statistical convergence as a sequential limit concept and we extend this concept in a natural way to define a *I*-statistical analogue of the set of limit points and cluster points of a real number sequence.

### 2. Definitions and Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** [8] If K is a subset of the positive integers N, then  $K_n$  denotes the set  $\{k \in K : k \le n\}$ . The natural density of K is given by  $D(K) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|K_n|}{n}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [8] A sequence  $(x_n)$  is said to be statistically convergent to  $x_0$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $A(\varepsilon) = \{k \in N : d(x_k, x_0) \ge \varepsilon\}$  has natural density zero.  $x_0$  is called the statistical limit of the sequence  $(x_n)$  and we write st- $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x_0$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [7] If  $(x_{k(j)})$  be a subsequence of a sequence  $x = (x_n)$  and density of  $K = \{k(j) : j \in N\}$  is zero then  $(x_{k(j)})$  is called a thin subsequence. Otherwise  $(x_{k(j)})$  is called a non-thin subsequence of x.

 $x_0$  is said to be a statistical limit point of a sequence  $(x_n)$ , if there exist a non-thin subsequence of  $(x_n)$  which conveges to  $x_0$ .

Let  $\Lambda_x$  denotes the set of all statistical limit points of the sequence  $(x_n)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [7]  $x_0$  is said to be a statistical cluster point of a sequence  $x = (x_n)$ , provided that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  the density of the set  $\{k \in N : d(x_k, x_0) < \varepsilon\}$  is not equal to 0.

Let  $\Gamma_x$  denotes the set of all statistical cluster points of the sequence  $(x_n)$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [12] Let X is a non-empty set. A family of subsets  $I \subset P(X)$  is called an ideal on X if and only if

- (i)  $\emptyset \in I$ ;
- (ii) for each  $A, B \in I$  implies  $A \cup B \in I$ ;
- (iii) for each  $A \in I$  and  $B \subset A$  implies  $B \in I$ .

**Definition 2.6.** [12] Let X is a non-empty set. A family of subsets  $\mathcal{F} \subset P(X)$  is called a filter on X if and only if

- (i)  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ ;
- (ii) for each  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- (iii) for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \supset A$  implies  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

An ideal I is called non-trivial if  $I \neq \emptyset$  and  $X \notin I$ . The filter  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(I) = \{X - A : A \in I\}$  is called the filter associated with the ideal I. A non-trivial ideal  $I \subset P(X)$  is called an admissible ideal in X if and only if  $I \supset \{\{x\} : x \in X\}$ 

**Definition 2.7.** [12] Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal on N. A sequence  $(x_n)$  is said to be I-convergent to  $x_0$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $A(\varepsilon) = \{k \in N : d(x_k, x_0) \geq \varepsilon\}$  belongs to I.  $x_0$  is called the I-limit of the sequence  $(x_n)$  and we write I- $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x_0$ .

**Definition 2.8.** [12]  $x_0$  is said to be *I*-limit point of a sequence  $x = (x_n)$  provided that there is a subset  $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < ...\} \subset N$  such that  $K \notin I$  and  $\lim x_{k_i} = x_0$ .

Let  $I(\Lambda_x)$  denotes the set of all *I*-limit points of the sequence x.

**Definition 2.9.** [12]  $x_0$  is said to be *I*-cluster point of a sequence  $x = (x_n)$  provided that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $\{k \in N : d(x_k, x_0) < \varepsilon\} \notin I$ .

Let  $I(\Gamma_x)$  denotes the set of all *I*-cluster points of the sequence x.

**Definition 2.10.** [16] A sequence  $x = (x_n)$  is said to be *I*-statistically convergent to  $x_0$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\left\{n \in N : \frac{1}{n} | \left\{k \le n : d\left(x_n, x_0\right) \ge \varepsilon\right\} | \ge \delta\right\} \in I.$$

 $x_0$  is called *I*-statistical limit of the sequence  $(x_n)$  and we write, *I*-st  $\lim x_n = x_0$ .

Throughout the paper we consider I as an admissible ideal.

#### 3. Main Results

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $(x_n)$  be a sequence such that I-st  $\lim x_n = x_0$ , then  $x_0$  determined uniquely.

*Proof.* If possible let the sequence  $(x_n)$  be *I*-statistically convergent to two different numbers  $x_0$  and  $y_0$ 

i.e, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$  we have,

$$A_{1} = \left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{n} | \left\{ k \leq n : d\left(x_{k}, x_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} | < \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{F}(I)$$
 and  $A_{2} = \left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{n} | \left\{ k \leq n : d\left(x_{k}, y_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} | < \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{F}(I)$   
Therefore,  $A_{1} \cap A_{2} \neq \emptyset$ , since  $A_{1} \cap A_{2} \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ .  
Let  $m \in A_{1} \cap A_{2}$  and take  $\varepsilon = \frac{d(x_{0}, y_{0})}{3} > 0$  so,  $\frac{1}{m} | \left\{ k \leq m : d\left(x_{k}, x_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} | < \delta$ 

so, 
$$\frac{\perp}{m} | \{ k \le m : d(x_k, x_0) \ge \varepsilon \} | < \delta$$
  
and  $\frac{1}{m} | \{ k \le m : d(x_k, y_0) \ge \varepsilon \} | < \delta$ 

i.e, for maximum  $k \leq m$  will satisfy  $d(x_k, x_0) < \varepsilon$  and  $d(x_k, y_0) < \varepsilon$  for a very small  $\delta > 0$ .

Thus, we must have

 $\{k \leq m : d(x_k, x_0) < \varepsilon\} \cap \{k \leq m : d(x_k, y_0) < \varepsilon\} \neq \emptyset$  a contradiction, as the neighbourhood of  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  are disjoint.

Hence the theorem is proved.

[ Downloaded from ijmsi.com on 2025-08-24 ]

**Theorem 3.2.** For any sequence  $(x_n)$ , st- $lim x_n = x_0$  implies I-st  $lim x_n = x_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $st\text{-}limx_n = x_0$ .

Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $A(\varepsilon) = \{k \le n : d(x_k, x_0) \ge \varepsilon\}$  has natural density zero.

i.e, 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \le n : d(x_k, x_0) \ge \varepsilon\}| = 0$$

So for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ ,

 $\{n \in N : \frac{1}{n} | \{k \le n : d(x_k, x_0) \ge \varepsilon\} | \ge \delta\}$  is a finite set and therefore belongs to I, as I is an admissible ideal.

Hence 
$$I$$
-st  $\lim x_n = x_0$ .

But the converse is not true.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let  $I = \zeta$  be the class of  $A \subset N$  that intersect a finite number of  $\triangle_j$ 's where  $N = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \triangle_j$  and  $\triangle_i \cap \triangle_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$  and so  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, 0) = 0$ . Put  $\epsilon_n = d(x_n, 0)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now define a sequence  $(y_n)$  by  $y_n = x_j$  if  $n \in \triangle_j$ 

Let  $\eta > 0$ . Choose  $\nu \in N$  such that  $\epsilon_{\nu} < \eta$ . Then

$$A(\eta) = \{n : d(y_n, 0) \ge \eta\} \subset \Delta_1 \cup \dots \cup \Delta_{\nu} \in \zeta.$$

Now, 
$$\{k \le n : d(y_k, 0) \ge \eta\} \subseteq \{n \in N : d(y_n, 0) \ge \eta\}$$

i.e, 
$$\frac{1}{n} | \{ k \le n : d(y_k, 0) \ge \eta \} | \le | \{ n \in N : d(y_n, 0) \ge \eta \} |$$

so for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\{n \in N : \frac{1}{n} | \{k \le n : d(y_k, 0) \ge \eta\} | \ge \delta\} \subseteq \{n \in N : d(y_n, 0) \ge \eta\} \in \zeta.$$

Therefore  $(y_n)$  is  $\zeta$ -statistically convergent to 0.

But  $(y_n)$  is not a statistically convergent.

**Theorem 3.4.** For any sequence  $(x_n)$ , I- $lim x_n = x_0$  implies I- $st lim x_n = x_0$ .

*Proof.* The proof is obvious. But the converse is not true.

EXAMPLE 3.5. If we take  $I = I_f$  the sequence  $(x_n)$ ,

where 
$$x_n = \begin{cases} 0, & n = k^2, k \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

is I-statistically convergent to 1. But  $(x_n)$  is not I-convergent.

**Theorem 3.6.** If each subsequence of  $(x_n)$  is I-statistically convergent to  $\xi$  then  $(x_n)$  is also I-statistically convergent to  $\xi$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(x_n)$  is not *I*-statistically convergent to  $\xi$ , then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that

 $A = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} | \left\{ k \leq n : d(x_k, \xi) \geq \varepsilon \right\} | \geq \delta \right\} \notin I$ . Since I is admissible ideal so A must be an infinite set.

Let  $A = \{n_1 < n_2 < ... < n_m < ...\}$ . Let  $y_m = x_{n_m}$  for  $m \in N$ . Then  $(y_m)_{m \in N}$  is a subsequence of  $(x_n)$  which is not *I*-statistically convergent to  $\xi$ , a contradiction. Hence the theorem is proved.

But the converse is not true. We can easily show this from example 3.5.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  be two sequences then

- (i) I-st  $\lim x_n = x_0$  and  $c \in R$  implies I-st  $\lim cx_n = cx_0$ .
- (ii) I-st  $\lim x_n = x_0$  and I-st  $\lim y_n = y_0$  implies I-st  $\lim (x_n + y_n) = x_0 + y_0$ .

*Proof.* (i) If c = 0, we have nothing to prove.

So we assume that  $c \neq 0$ .

Now, 
$$\frac{1}{n} | \{ k \le n : d(cx_k, cx_0) \ge \varepsilon \} | = \frac{1}{n} | \{ k \le n : |c|d(x_k, x_0) \ge \varepsilon \} | \le \frac{1}{n} | \{ k \le n : d(x_k, x_0) \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|} \} | < \delta$$

Therefore,  $\left\{n \in N : \frac{1}{n} | \left\{k \leq n : d\left(cx_k, cx_0\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\} | < \delta\right\} \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ .

i.e, I-st  $\lim cx_n = cx_0$ .

(ii) We have 
$$A_1 = \left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{n} \middle| \left\{ k \le n : d\left(x_k, x_0\right) \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \middle| < \frac{\delta}{2} \right\} \in \mathcal{F}(I)$$
 and  $A_2 = \left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{n} \middle| \left\{ k \le n : d\left(y_k, y_0\right) \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \middle| < \frac{\delta}{2} \right\} \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ .

Since  $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$ , therefore for all  $n \in A_1 \cap A_2$  we have,

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{n}|\left\{k \leq n: d\left(x_k + y_k, x_0 + y_0\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n}|\left\{k \leq n: d\left(x_k, x_0\right) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}| + \frac{1}{n}|\left\{k \leq n: d\left(y_k, y_0\right) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}| < \delta. \\ &\text{i.e, } \left\{n \in N: \frac{1}{n}|\left\{k \leq n: d\left(x_k + y_k, x_0 + y_0\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\} < \delta\right\} \in \mathcal{F}\left(I\right). \\ &\text{Hence } I\text{-st} \lim \left(x_n + y_n\right) = \left(x_0 + y_0\right). \end{split}$$

**Definition 3.8.** A sequence  $x = (x_n)_{n \in N}$  of elements of X is said to be  $I^*$ -statistical convergent to  $\xi \in X$  if and only if there exists a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < ... < m_k < ...\} \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ , such that st-lim  $d(x_{m_k}, \xi) = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** If  $I^*$ -st  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \xi$  then I-st  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \xi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I^*$ -st  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \xi$ . By assumption there exist a set  $H \in I$  such that for  $M = N \setminus H = \{m_1 < m_2 < ... < m_k < ...\}$  we have st- $\lim x_{m_k} = \xi$  i.e,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{m_k \le n : d(x_{m_k}, \xi) \ge \varepsilon\}| = 0$ 

so for any  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\{n \in N : \frac{1}{n} | \{m_k \le n : d(x_{m_k}, \xi) \ge \varepsilon\} | \ge \delta\} \in I$  since I is an admissible ideal.

Now, 
$$A(\varepsilon, \delta) = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} | \left\{ k \le n : d(x_k, \xi) \ge \varepsilon \right\} | \ge \delta \right\}$$
  
 $\subset H \cup \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} | \left\{ m_k \le n : d(x_{m_k}, \xi) \ge \varepsilon \right\} | \ge \delta \right\} \in I$   
i.e,  $I$ -st  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \xi$ .

But the converse may not be true.

From example 3.3. we have  $\zeta$ -st  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = 0$ .

Suppose that  $\zeta^*$ -st  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = 0$ . Then there exist a set  $H \in \zeta$  such that for  $M = N \setminus H = \{m_1 < m_2 < ... < m_k < ...\}$  we have st- $\lim y_{m_k} = 0$ . By definition of  $\zeta$  there exist a  $p \in N$  such that  $H \subset \triangle_1 \cup ... \cup \triangle_p$ . But then  $\triangle_{p+1} \subset M$ , so for infinitely many  $m_k \in \triangle_{p+1}$ ,

$$D\{m_k \in \Delta_{p+1} : d(y_{m_k}, 0) \ge \eta\} = 2^{-(p+1)} > 0 \text{ for } 0 < \eta < \frac{1}{p+1}$$
  
i.e,  $D\{m_k \in \Delta_{p+1} : d(y_{m_k}, 0) \ge \eta\} \ne 0$ , which is a contradicts st-lim  $y_{m_k} = 0$ 

Hence  $\zeta^*$ -st  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n \neq 0$ .

0.

**Definition 3.10.** An element  $x_0$  is said to be an *I*-statistical limit point of a sequence  $x = (x_n)$  provided that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < ...\} \subset N$  such that  $M \notin I$  and  $st\text{-}lim \, x_{m_k} = x_0$ .

I- $S(\Lambda_x)$  denotes the set of all I-statistical limit points of the sequence  $(x_n)$ .

**Theorem 3.11.** If  $(x_n)$  be a sequence such that I-st  $\lim x_n = x_0$  then I- $S(\Lambda_x) = \{x_0\}.$ 

*Proof.* Since  $(x_n)$  is *I*-statistically convergent to  $x_0$ , so for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  the set,

 $A = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} | \left\{ k \leq n : d(x_k, x_0) \geq \varepsilon \right\} | \geq \delta \right\} \in I$ , where I is an admissible ideal.

Suppose I- $S(\Lambda_x)$  contains  $y_0$  different from  $x_0$ . i.e,  $y_0 \in I$ - $S(\Lambda_x)$ .

So there exist a  $M \subset N$  such that  $M \notin I$  and st- $lim X_{m_k} = y_0$ .

Let  $B = \{n \in M : \frac{1}{n} | \{k \le n : d(x_k, y_0) \ge \varepsilon\} | \ge \delta\}$ . So B is a finite set and therefore  $B \in I$  and so  $B^c = \{n \in M : \frac{1}{n} | \{k \le n : d(x_k, y_0) \ge \varepsilon\} | < \delta\} \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ .

Again let  $A_1 = \{n \in M : \frac{1}{n} | \{k \le n : d(x_k, x_0) \ge \varepsilon\} | \ge \delta \}$ . So  $A_1 \subset A \in I$ . i.e,  $A_1^c \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ . Therefore  $A_1^c \cap B^c \ne \emptyset$ , since  $A_1^c \cap B^c \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ 

Let  $p \in A_1^c \cap B^c$  and take  $\varepsilon = \frac{d(x_0, y_0)}{3} > 0$ 

so  $\frac{1}{p} |\{k \le p : d(x_k, x_0) \ge \varepsilon\}| < \delta$ 

and  $\frac{1}{p} | \{ k \leq p : d(x_k, y_0) \geq \varepsilon \} | < \delta$ 

i.e, for maximum  $k \leq p$  will satisfy  $d(x_k, x_0) < \varepsilon$  and  $d(x_k, y_0) < \varepsilon$  for a very small  $\delta > 0$ .

Thus we must have.

 $\{k \leq p : d(x_k, x_0) < \varepsilon\} \cap \{k \leq p : d(x_k, y_0) < \varepsilon\} \neq \emptyset$  a contradiction, as the neighbourhood of  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  are disjoint.

Hence 
$$I$$
- $S(\Lambda_x) = \{x_0\}.$ 

**Definition 3.12.** [15] An element  $x_0$  is said to be an I-statistical cluster point of a sequence  $x = (x_n)$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ 

$$\left\{n \in N : \frac{1}{n} | \left\{k \le n : d\left(x_k, x_0\right) \ge \varepsilon\right\} | < \delta\right\} \notin I.$$

I- $S(\Gamma_x)$  denotes the set of all I-statistical cluster points of the sequence  $(x_n)$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** For any sequence  $x = (x_n)$ , I- $S(\Gamma_x)$  is closed.

*Proof.* Let  $y_0$  be a limit point of the set I- $S(\Gamma_x)$  then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , I- $S(\Gamma_x) \cap B(y_0, \varepsilon) \neq 0$ , where  $B(y_0, \varepsilon) = \{z \in R : d(z, y_0) < \varepsilon\}$ 

Let  $z_0 \in I$ - $S(\Gamma_x) \cap B(y_0, \varepsilon)$  and choose  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that  $B(z_0, \varepsilon_1) \subseteq B(y_0, \varepsilon)$ .

Then we have  $\{k \leq n : d(x_k, z_0) \geq \varepsilon_1\} \supseteq \{k \leq n : d(x_k, y_0) \geq \varepsilon\}$   $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} | \{k \leq n : d(x_k, z_0) \geq \varepsilon_1\} | \geq \frac{1}{n} | \{k \leq n : d(x_k, y_0) \geq \varepsilon\} |$ Now for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\left\{n \in N : \frac{1}{n} | \left\{k \le n : d\left(x_k, z_0\right) \ge \varepsilon_1\right\} | < \delta\right\}$$

$$\subseteq \left\{n \in N : \frac{1}{n} | \left\{k \le n : d\left(x_k, y_0\right) \ge \varepsilon\right\} | < \delta\right\}$$
 Since  $z_0 \in I$ - $S(\Gamma_x)$  therefore,  $\left\{n \in N : \frac{1}{n} | \left\{k \le n : d\left(x_k, y_0\right) \ge \varepsilon\right\} | < \delta\right\} \notin I$ . i.e,  $y_0 \in I$ - $S(\Gamma_x)$ . Hence the theorem is proved.

**Theorem 3.14.** For any sequence  $x = (x_n)$ ,  $I-S(\Lambda_x) \subseteq I-S(\Gamma_x)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in I$ - $S(\Lambda_x)$ . Then there exist a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < ...\} \notin I$  such that, st- $lim x_{m_k} = x_0 \Rightarrow lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} |\{m_i \le k : d(x_{m_i}, x_0) \ge \varepsilon\}| = 0$ .

Take  $\delta > 0$ , so there exist  $k_0 \in N$  such that for  $n > k_0$  we have,

$$\frac{1}{n} |\{m_i \le n : d(x_{m_i}, x_0) \ge \varepsilon\}| < \delta.$$

Let 
$$A = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} | \{ m_i \le n : d(x_{m_i}, x_0) \ge \varepsilon \} | < \delta \}.$$

Also,  $A \supset M/\{m_1 < m_2 < ... < m_{k_0}\}$ . Since I is an admissible ideal and  $M \notin I$ , therefore  $A \notin I$ . So by definition of I-statistical cluster point  $x_0 \in I$ - $S(\Gamma_x)$ .

Hence the theorem is proved.

**Theorem 3.15.** If 
$$x = (x_n)$$
 and  $y = (y_n)$  be two sequences such that  $\{n \in N : x_n \neq y_n\} \in I$ , then (i)  $I$ - $S(\Lambda_x) = I$ - $S(\Lambda_y)$  and (ii)  $I$ - $S(\Gamma_x) = I$ - $S(\Gamma_y)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x_0 \in I$ - $S(\Lambda_x)$ . So by definition there exist a set  $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < \cdots\}$  of N such that  $K \notin I$  and st- $lim\ x_{k_n} = x_0$ .

Since  $\{n \in K : x_n \neq y_n\} \subset \{n \in N : x_n \neq y_n\} \in I$ ,

therefore  $K' = \{n \in K : x_n = y_n\} \notin I$  and  $K' \subseteq K$ .

So we have st-lim  $y_{k'_n} = x_0$ .

This shows that  $x_0 \in I$ - $S(\Lambda_y)$  and therefore I- $S(\Lambda_x) \subseteq I$ - $S(\Lambda_y)$ .

By symmetry I- $S(\Lambda_y) \subseteq I$ - $S(\Lambda_x)$ .

Hence I- $S(\Lambda_y) = I$ - $S(\Lambda_x)$ .

(ii) Let  $x_0 \in I$ -S ( $\Gamma_x$ ). So by definition for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set,  $A = \left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{n} \middle| \left\{ k \le n : d\left(x_k, x_0\right) \ge \varepsilon \right\} \middle| < \delta \right\} \notin I$ . Let  $B = \left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{n} \middle| \left\{ k \le n : d\left(y_k, x_0\right) \ge \varepsilon \right\} < \delta \right\}$ . We have to prove that  $B \notin I$ .

Suppose  $B \in I$ . So,  $B^c = \{n \in N : \frac{1}{n} | \{k \le n : d(y_k, x_0) \ge \varepsilon\} \ge \delta\} \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ . By hypothesis the set  $C = \{n \in N : x_n = y_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ .

Therefore  $B^c \cap C \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ . Also it is clear that  $B^c \cap C \subset A^c \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ ,

i.e,  $A \in I$ , which is a contradiction.

Hence  $B \notin I$  and thus the result is proved.

**Theorem 3.16.** If g is a continuous function on X then it preserves I-statistical convergence in X.

*Proof.* Let I-st  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \xi$ .

Since g is continuous, then for each  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ , there exist  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  such that if  $x \in B(\xi, \varepsilon_1)$  then  $g(x) \in B(g(\xi), \varepsilon_2)$ .

Also we have,

```
\begin{split} C\left(\varepsilon_{1},\delta\right) &= \left\{n \in N: \frac{1}{n}|\left\{k \leq n: d\left(x_{k},\xi\right) \geq \varepsilon_{1}\right\} \mid <\delta\right\} \in \mathcal{F}\left(I\right) \\ \text{Now, } \left\{k \leq n: d\left(x_{k},\xi\right) \geq \varepsilon_{1}\right\} \supseteq \left\{k \leq n: d\left(g\left(x_{k}\right), g\left(\xi\right)\right) \geq \varepsilon_{2}\right\} \\ \text{so, } \frac{1}{n}|\left\{k \leq n: d\left(x_{k},\xi\right) \geq \varepsilon_{1}\right\} \mid \geq \frac{1}{n}|\left\{k \leq n: d\left(g\left(x_{k}\right), g\left(\xi\right)\right) \geq \varepsilon_{2}\right\} \mid \\ \text{for } \delta > 0, \left\{n \in N: \frac{1}{n}|\left\{k \leq n: d\left(x_{k},\xi\right) \geq \varepsilon_{1}\right\} \mid <\delta\right\} \\ &\subseteq \left\{n \in N: \frac{1}{n}|\left\{k \leq n: d\left(g\left(x_{k}\right), g\left(\xi\right)\right) \geq \varepsilon_{2}\right\} \mid <\delta\right\} \in \mathcal{F}\left(I\right) \\ \text{since } C\left(\varepsilon_{1},\delta\right) \in \mathcal{F}\left(I\right). \end{split}
```

Hence the theorem is proved.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to CSIR for their financial support to carry out this research work through the Project No. 25(0236)/14/EMR-II.

### References

- R. C. Buck, The measure theoretic approach to density, Amer. J. Math., 68, (1946), 560-580.
- 2. R. C. Buck, Generalized asymptotic density, Amer. J. Math., 75, (1953), 335-346.
- S. Debnath, J. Debnath, On *I*-statistically convergent sequence spaces defined by sequences of Orlicz functions using matrix transformation, *Proyectiones J. Math.*, 33(3), (2014), 277-285.
- 4. K. Dems, On I-Cauchy sequences, Real Anal. Exchange, 30(1), (2004/2005), 123-128.
- 5. M. Et, A. Alotaibi, S. A. Mohiuddine, On  $(\triangle^m, I)$ -statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$ , The Scientific world journal, vol 2014.
- 6. H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Collog. Math., 2, (1951), 241-244.
- 7. J. A. Fridy, Statistical limit points, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 4, (1993), 1187-1192.
- 8. J. A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, Analysis, 5, (1985), 301-313.
- 9. M. Gurdal, H. Sari, Extremal A-statistical limit points via ideals, J. Egyptian Math. Soc., 22(1), (2014), 55-58.
- M. Gurdal, M. O. Ozgur, A generalized statistical convergence via moduli, *Electronic J. Math. Anal. & Appl.*, 3(2), (2015), 173-178.
- P. Kostyrko, M. Macaj, T. Salat, M. Sleziak, I-convergence and extremal I-limit points, Math. Slov., 4, (2005), 443-464.
- P. Kostyrko, T. Salat, W. Wilczynski, *I*-convergence, *Real Anal. Exchange*, 26(2), (2000/2001), 669-686.
- M. Mamedov, S. Pehlivan, Statistical cluster points and turnpike theorem in non convex problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 256, (2001), 686-693.
- D. S. Mitrinovic, J. Sandor, B. Crstici, Handbook of Number Theory, Kluwer Acad. Publ. Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1996.
- M. Mursaleen, S. Debnath, D. Rakshit, I-statistical limit superior and I-statistical limit inferior, Filomat, 31(7), (2017), 2103-2108.
- E. Savas, P. Das, A generalized statistical convergence via ideals, App. Math. Lett., 24, (2011), 826-830.
- 17. E. Savas, P. Das, On I-statistically pre-Cauchy sequences,  $Taiwanese\ J.\ Math.,\ {\bf 18}(1),$  (2014), 115-126.
- H. Steinhaus, Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique, Colloq. Math., 2, (1951), 73-74.

[ Downloaded from ijmsi.com on 2025-08-24 ]

- 19. T. Salat, On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers,  $Math.\ Slov.,\ {\bf 30},\ (1980),\ 139-150.$
- T. Salat, B. Tripathy, M. Ziman, On some properties of *I*-convergence, *Tatra. Mt. Math. Publ.*, 28, (2004), 279-286.
- 21. B. C. Tripathy, On statistically convergent and statistically bounded sequences, *Bull. Malaysian. Math. Soc.*, **20**, (1997), 31-33.
- 22. U. Yamanci, M. Gurdal, *I* -statistical convergence in 2-normed space, *Arab J. Math. Sci.*, **20**(1), (2014), 41-47.
- 23. U. Yamanci, M. Gurdal, I -statistically pre-Cauchy double sequences, Global J. Math. Anal., 2(4), (2014), 297-303.